

Supplementary Material: Analysis and Forecasting of Risk in Count Processes

Annika Homburg*, Christian H. Weiß*[†], Gabriel Frahm*, Layth C. Alwan[‡], Rainer GÖb[§]

Contents

S.1	Figures with Boxplots for Section 4	2
S.2	Tables with Summarizing Statistics for Section 4	3
Section 4.1	INAR(1) Count DGPs	3
Section 4.2	Further Autoregressive Count DGPs	5
Section 4.3	DGPs for Bounded Counts	9

Acronyms

DGP = data-generating process

Poi = Poisson

ZIP = zero-inflated Poisson

NB = negative binomial

INAR = integer-valued autoregressive

INARCH = integer-valued autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity

BinAR = binomial autoregressive

BinARCH = binomial autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity

ll-Poi-AR = log-linear Poisson autoregressive

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Helmut Schmidt University, 22008 Hamburg, Germany

[†]Corresponding author. E-Mail: weissc@hsu-hh.de

[‡]Sheldon B. Lubar School of Business, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

[§]Institute of Mathematics, Department of Statistics, University of Würzburg, Germany

S.1 Figures with Boxplots for Section 4

See the content of the zip-file “RiskPredCountTS_Boxplots.zip”.

S.2 Tables with Summarizing Statistics for Section 4

Section 4.1 INAR(1) Count DGPs

Table S.1: Relative frequency of risk underrating for Poi-, ZIP, and NB-INAR(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $I = 1.4$ in case of overdispersion and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(1) DGP						ZIP-INAR(1) DGP						NB-INAR(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.171	0.631	0.142	0.587	0.076	0.485	0.203	0.559	0.179	0.586	0.154	0.639	0.261	0.704	0.231	0.666	0.170	0.587
$T = 250$	0.099	0.663	0.086	0.608	0.061	0.498	0.120	0.582	0.111	0.626	0.115	0.722	0.170	0.789	0.160	0.753	0.125	0.676
$T = 2500$	0.034	0.685	0.030	0.619	0.026	0.505	0.038	0.586	0.035	0.643	0.040	0.760	0.053	0.857	0.049	0.809	0.042	0.718
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.475	0.527	0.408	0.458	0.284	0.328	0.486	0.426	0.451	0.454	0.447	0.538	0.529	0.731	0.522	0.703	0.500	0.647
$T = 250$	0.482	0.525	0.443	0.435	0.373	0.299	0.498	0.400	0.480	0.453	0.521	0.610	0.532	0.832	0.542	0.809	0.560	0.770
$T = 2500$	0.495	0.526	0.480	0.418	0.461	0.281	0.500	0.361	0.494	0.434	0.519	0.644	0.510	0.924	0.515	0.892	0.534	0.842
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.495	0.758	0.440	0.697	0.308	0.603	0.499	0.635	0.474	0.667	0.442	0.760	0.522	0.881	0.510	0.871	0.478	0.864
$T = 250$	0.497	0.861	0.464	0.779	0.388	0.682	0.506	0.697	0.496	0.747	0.509	0.894	0.526	0.976	0.535	0.974	0.546	0.981
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.964	0.489	0.863	0.466	0.763	0.503	0.742	0.500	0.807	0.513	0.971	0.508	1.000	0.512	1.000	0.528	1.000
MaVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.500	0.752	0.456	0.727	0.333	0.719	0.502	0.653	0.484	0.694	0.448	0.770	0.518	0.778	0.504	0.757	0.467	0.723
$T = 250$	0.499	0.854	0.474	0.820	0.404	0.829	0.507	0.724	0.500	0.787	0.506	0.911	0.522	0.905	0.526	0.892	0.523	0.886
$T = 2500$	0.500	0.962	0.492	0.910	0.470	0.928	0.503	0.789	0.502	0.863	0.510	0.987	0.506	0.996	0.510	0.991	0.516	0.995
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.501	0.661	0.467	0.626	0.362	0.556	0.503	0.587	0.488	0.618	0.452	0.683	0.513	0.739	0.501	0.724	0.467	0.707
$T = 250$	0.500	0.748	0.480	0.690	0.420	0.610	0.504	0.641	0.499	0.693	0.502	0.822	0.519	0.868	0.523	0.861	0.525	0.868
$T = 2500$	0.500	0.891	0.494	0.788	0.477	0.682	0.503	0.698	0.501	0.780	0.509	0.945	0.505	0.993	0.508	0.987	0.518	0.994

Table S.2: Mean severity of risk underrating for Poi-, ZIP, and NB-INAR(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $I = 1.4$ in case of overdispersion and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(1) DGP						ZIP-INAR(1) DGP						NB-INAR(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-1.034	-0.582	-1.020	-0.538	-1.005	-0.424	-1.049	-0.570	-1.026	-0.579	-1.018	-0.530	-1.110	-0.742	-1.068	-0.682	-1.017	-0.529
$T = 250$	-1.002	-0.444	-1.001	-0.416	-1.000	-0.332	-1.005	-0.428	-1.002	-0.455	-1.001	-0.452	-1.014	-0.586	-1.006	-0.553	-1.000	-0.446
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.378	-1.000	-0.357	-1.000	-0.292	-1.000	-0.354	-1.000	-0.387	-1.000	-0.410	-1.000	-0.489	-1.000	-0.463	-1.000	-0.392
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.402	-0.553	-0.386	-0.499	-0.304	-0.371	-0.466	-0.525	-0.439	-0.534	-0.407	-0.503	-0.710	-0.842	-0.649	-0.779	-0.531	-0.627
$T = 250$	-0.224	-0.384	-0.219	-0.352	-0.190	-0.256	-0.260	-0.368	-0.254	-0.395	-0.275	-0.404	-0.437	-0.667	-0.433	-0.636	-0.402	-0.536
$T = 2500$	-0.074	-0.294	-0.071	-0.272	-0.067	-0.195	-0.083	-0.286	-0.079	-0.316	-0.100	-0.345	-0.140	-0.552	-0.142	-0.530	-0.153	-0.471
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.381	-0.676	-0.347	-0.597	-0.289	-0.426	-0.451	-0.637	-0.414	-0.649	-0.388	-0.632	-0.712	-1.067	-0.657	-0.994	-0.542	-0.830
$T = 250$	-0.212	-0.506	-0.203	-0.437	-0.190	-0.295	-0.254	-0.469	-0.245	-0.507	-0.270	-0.551	-0.437	-0.949	-0.434	-0.907	-0.413	-0.800
$T = 2500$	-0.068	-0.418	-0.067	-0.350	-0.070	-0.226	-0.080	-0.366	-0.079	-0.418	-0.098	-0.507	-0.139	-0.916	-0.141	-0.877	-0.153	-0.806
MaVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.350	-0.589	-0.307	-0.547	-0.229	-0.449	-0.418	-0.576	-0.376	-0.589	-0.321	-0.547	-0.545	-0.756	-0.478	-0.693	-0.352	-0.543
$T = 250$	-0.193	-0.436	-0.177	-0.405	-0.146	-0.342	-0.235	-0.420	-0.219	-0.455	-0.204	-0.467	-0.324	-0.596	-0.295	-0.558	-0.223	-0.450
$T = 2500$	-0.061	-0.355	-0.058	-0.331	-0.054	-0.289	-0.074	-0.323	-0.070	-0.374	-0.071	-0.432	-0.102	-0.516	-0.094	-0.478	-0.077	-0.399
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.323	-0.441	-0.284	-0.402	-0.202	-0.309	-0.377	-0.447	-0.336	-0.445	-0.275	-0.394	-0.476	-0.597	-0.425	-0.549	-0.323	-0.433
$T = 250$	-0.178	-0.292	-0.160	-0.264	-0.124	-0.193	-0.211	-0.291	-0.192	-0.306	-0.173	-0.295	-0.279	-0.443	-0.260	-0.416	-0.212	-0.338
$T = 2500$	-0.057	-0.200	-0.052	-0.181	-0.044	-0.128	-0.066	-0.191	-0.061	-0.217	-0.059	-0.241	-0.088	-0.359	-0.082	-0.336	-0.075	-0.287

Table S.3: Relative frequency of risk underrating for Poi-, ZIP, and NB-INAR(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $I = 2.4$ in case of overdispersion and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(1) DGP						ZIP-INAR(1) DGP						NB-INAR(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.171	0.631	0.142	0.587	0.076	0.485	0.269	0.572	0.293	0.708	0.383	0.850	0.388	0.797	0.397	0.775	0.365	0.674
$T = 250$	0.099	0.663	0.086	0.608	0.061	0.498	0.158	0.574	0.176	0.769	0.240	0.947	0.261	0.897	0.266	0.872	0.249	0.747
$T = 2500$	0.034	0.685	0.030	0.619	0.026	0.505	0.051	0.575	0.057	0.813	0.072	0.990	0.083	0.988	0.086	0.968	0.078	0.828
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.475	0.527	0.408	0.458	0.284	0.328	0.524	0.423	0.542	0.589	0.656	0.841	0.579	0.916	0.598	0.920	0.624	0.894
$T = 250$	0.482	0.525	0.443	0.435	0.373	0.299	0.513	0.388	0.527	0.604	0.600	0.936	0.548	0.987	0.567	0.988	0.603	0.970
$T = 2500$	0.495	0.526	0.480	0.418	0.461	0.281	0.503	0.368	0.509	0.604	0.534	0.985	0.513	1.000	0.524	1.000	0.541	0.992
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.495	0.758	0.440	0.697	0.308	0.603	0.523	0.558	0.537	0.716	0.628	0.919	0.572	0.959	0.592	0.961	0.630	0.952
$T = 250$	0.497	0.861	0.464	0.779	0.388	0.682	0.514	0.549	0.522	0.768	0.580	0.987	0.541	0.998	0.557	0.998	0.591	0.997
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.964	0.489	0.863	0.466	0.763	0.504	0.533	0.506	0.801	0.525	1.000	0.511	1.000	0.518	1.000	0.533	1.000
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.500	0.752	0.456	0.727	0.333	0.719	0.524	0.618	0.537	0.756	0.601	0.888	0.562	0.826	0.572	0.807	0.559	0.723
$T = 250$	0.499	0.854	0.474	0.820	0.404	0.829	0.515	0.640	0.523	0.837	0.565	0.978	0.534	0.939	0.547	0.919	0.551	0.826
$T = 2500$	0.500	0.962	0.492	0.910	0.470	0.928	0.505	0.639	0.505	0.900	0.522	0.997	0.509	1.000	0.514	0.999	0.516	0.931
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.501	0.661	0.467	0.626	0.362	0.556	0.518	0.580	0.527	0.700	0.587	0.847	0.557	0.841	0.572	0.846	0.599	0.836
$T = 250$	0.500	0.748	0.480	0.690	0.420	0.610	0.512	0.602	0.516	0.782	0.555	0.962	0.532	0.951	0.545	0.955	0.573	0.952
$T = 2500$	0.500	0.891	0.494	0.788	0.477	0.682	0.504	0.597	0.502	0.862	0.518	1.000	0.509	1.000	0.514	1.000	0.526	1.000

Table S.4: Mean severity of risk underrating for Poi-, ZIP, and NB-INAR(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $I = 2.4$ in case of overdispersion and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(1) DGP						ZIP-INAR(1) DGP						NB-INAR(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-1.034	-0.582	-1.020	-0.538	-1.005	-0.424	-1.133	-0.777	-1.148	-0.926	-1.244	-1.066	-1.384	-1.283	-1.365	-1.240	-1.247	-0.985
$T = 250$	-1.002	-0.444	-1.001	-0.416	-1.000	-0.332	-1.016	-0.599	-1.017	-0.734	-1.068	-0.915	-1.084	-0.984	-1.074	-0.939	-1.042	-0.737
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.378	-1.000	-0.357	-1.000	-0.292	-1.000	-0.520	-1.000	-0.641	-1.004	-0.865	-1.000	-0.844	-1.000	-0.787	-1.000	-0.578
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.402	-0.553	-0.386	-0.499	-0.304	-0.371	-0.622	-0.776	-0.681	-0.948	-0.934	-1.216	-1.339	-1.959	-1.427	-2.003	-1.431	-1.773
$T = 250$	-0.224	-0.384	-0.219	-0.352	-0.190	-0.256	-0.336	-0.607	-0.376	-0.750	-0.555	-1.043	-0.757	-1.721	-0.810	-1.777	-0.868	-1.597
$T = 2500$	-0.074	-0.294	-0.071	-0.272	-0.067	-0.195	-0.109	-0.542	-0.123	-0.663	-0.178	-0.979	-0.242	-1.674	-0.266	-1.731	-0.299	-1.539
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.381	-0.676	-0.347	-0.597	-0.289	-0.426	-0.619	-0.899	-0.676	-1.100	-0.894	-1.443	-1.349	-2.317	-1.433	-2.371	-1.427	-2.150
$T = 250$	-0.212	-0.506	-0.203	-0.437	-0.190	-0.295	-0.335	-0.726	-0.369	-0.899	-0.521	-1.330	-0.755	-2.157	-0.804	-2.222	-0.861	-2.052
$T = 2500$	-0.068	-0.418	-0.067	-0.350	-0.070	-0.226	-0.105	-0.663	-0.116	-0.801	-0.167	-1.315	-0.239	-2.134	-0.256	-2.197	-0.272	-2.029
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.350	-0.589	-0.307	-0.547	-0.229	-0.449	-0.576	-0.794	-0.614	-0.941	-0.738	-1.090	-0.945	-1.301	-0.925	-1.254	-0.772	-0.995
$T = 250$	-0.193	-0.436	-0.177	-0.405	-0.146	-0.342	-0.312	-0.609	-0.332	-0.746	-0.426	-0.962	-0.525	-1.006	-0.510	-0.953	-0.452	-0.738
$T = 2500$	-0.061	-0.355	-0.058	-0.331	-0.054	-0.289	-0.098	-0.531	-0.104	-0.650	-0.134	-0.942	-0.165	-0.911	-0.161	-0.836	-0.145	-0.587
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.323	-0.441	-0.284	-0.402	-0.202	-0.309	-0.505	-0.617	-0.514	-0.713	-0.561	-0.807	-0.809	-1.100	-0.816	-1.096	-0.745	-0.962
$T = 250$	-0.178	-0.292	-0.160	-0.264	-0.124	-0.193	-0.275	-0.429	-0.277	-0.520	-0.311	-0.662	-0.449	-0.866	-0.451	-0.870	-0.437	-0.778
$T = 2500$	-0.057	-0.200	-0.052	-0.181	-0.044	-0.128	-0.086	-0.340	-0.086	-0.416	-0.096	-0.630	-0.141	-0.800	-0.143	-0.806	-0.138	-0.717

Section 4.2 Further Autoregressive Count DGPs

Table S.5: Relative frequency of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.25$ in case of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.201	0.601	0.188	0.559	0.178	0.487	0.187	0.630	0.192	0.589	0.199	0.502	0.224	0.613	0.232	0.573	0.250	0.506
$T = 250$	0.115	0.629	0.109	0.572	0.105	0.480	0.109	0.664	0.110	0.598	0.112	0.489	0.131	0.643	0.137	0.585	0.149	0.492
$T = 2500$	0.037	0.651	0.036	0.582	0.035	0.482	0.033	0.696	0.033	0.602	0.031	0.490	0.042	0.664	0.044	0.593	0.047	0.488
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.477	0.501	0.439	0.452	0.369	0.388	0.494	0.555	0.507	0.524	0.484	0.461	0.505	0.545	0.504	0.516	0.496	0.469
$T = 250$	0.483	0.493	0.460	0.425	0.412	0.353	0.505	0.831	0.498	0.510	0.498	0.444	0.505	0.781	0.501	0.677	0.495	0.447
$T = 2500$	0.493	0.487	0.487	0.410	0.468	0.336	0.505	0.574	0.504	0.515	0.492	0.439	0.500	0.548	0.500	0.504	0.496	0.438
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.496	0.702	0.471	0.629	0.421	0.521	0.494	0.757	0.507	0.678	0.484	0.550	0.505	0.715	0.504	0.647	0.496	0.549
$T = 250$	0.496	0.781	0.483	0.668	0.450	0.514	0.505	0.831	0.498	0.700	0.498	0.551	0.505	0.781	0.501	0.677	0.495	0.541
$T = 2500$	0.498	0.859	0.495	0.698	0.484	0.518	0.505	0.898	0.504	0.728	0.492	0.550	0.500	0.833	0.500	0.696	0.496	0.540
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.501	0.707	0.484	0.661	0.451	0.590	0.494	0.734	0.507	0.671	0.484	0.551	0.505	0.698	0.504	0.639	0.496	0.552
$T = 250$	0.500	0.793	0.491	0.719	0.469	0.608	0.505	0.815	0.498	0.696	0.498	0.554	0.505	0.770	0.501	0.673	0.495	0.540
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.886	0.497	0.772	0.492	0.628	0.505	0.901	0.504	0.722	0.492	0.548	0.500	0.832	0.500	0.697	0.496	0.541
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.502	0.623	0.487	0.577	0.461	0.508	0.494	0.659	0.507	0.611	0.484	0.516	0.505	0.631	0.504	0.586	0.496	0.516
$T = 250$	0.501	0.687	0.492	0.610	0.474	0.504	0.505	0.735	0.498	0.635	0.498	0.516	0.505	0.688	0.501	0.612	0.495	0.507
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.781	0.497	0.655	0.495	0.513	0.505	0.821	0.504	0.665	0.492	0.519	0.500	0.755	0.500	0.638	0.496	0.507

Table S.6: Mean severity of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.25$ in case of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-1.070	-0.653	-1.070	-0.675	-1.122	-0.749	-1.061	-0.660	-1.104	-0.804	-1.206	-1.221	-1.112	-0.749	-1.148	-0.904	-1.251	-1.329
$T = 250$	-1.009	-0.489	-1.007	-0.515	-1.018	-0.600	-1.005	-0.520	-1.005	-0.648	-1.037	-1.074	-1.017	-0.572	-1.025	-0.717	-1.057	-1.132
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.406	-1.000	-0.436	-1.000	-0.522	-1.000	-0.434	-1.000	-0.584	-1.000	-0.955	-1.000	-0.481	-1.000	-0.626	-1.000	-1.016
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.479	-0.634	-0.485	-0.657	-0.568	-0.731	-0.428	-0.663	-0.445	-0.858	-0.529	-1.380	-0.526	-0.768	-0.564	-0.967	-0.669	-1.482
$T = 250$	-0.258	-0.445	-0.258	-0.487	-0.280	-0.594	-0.231	-0.501	-0.237	-0.695	-0.251	-1.239	-0.283	-0.574	-0.299	-0.774	-0.340	-1.298
$T = 2500$	-0.082	-0.343	-0.081	-0.398	-0.083	-0.515	-0.069	-0.401	-0.071	-0.614	-0.069	-1.118	-0.090	-0.470	-0.093	-0.678	-0.100	-1.189
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.458	-0.748	-0.446	-0.760	-0.490	-0.828	-0.430	-0.798	-0.446	-0.990	-0.542	-1.515	-0.526	-0.903	-0.564	-1.100	-0.674	-1.613
$T = 250$	-0.249	-0.554	-0.241	-0.575	-0.253	-0.673	-0.229	-0.633	-0.236	-0.818	-0.267	-1.348	-0.286	-0.707	-0.299	-0.897	-0.343	-1.413
$T = 2500$	-0.079	-0.450	-0.077	-0.487	-0.078	-0.589	-0.072	-0.548	-0.073	-0.742	-0.082	-1.245	-0.090	-0.605	-0.092	-0.800	-0.103	-1.300
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.426	-0.661	-0.412	-0.685	-0.436	-0.761	-0.398	-0.665	-0.415	-0.808	-0.505	-1.233	-0.486	-0.757	-0.521	-0.913	-0.625	-1.326
$T = 250$	-0.231	-0.481	-0.221	-0.508	-0.223	-0.598	-0.211	-0.508	-0.219	-0.645	-0.247	-1.058	-0.265	-0.567	-0.277	-0.715	-0.318	-1.125
$T = 2500$	-0.073	-0.382	-0.069	-0.421	-0.067	-0.513	-0.066	-0.422	-0.068	-0.577	-0.075	-0.968	-0.083	-0.467	-0.085	-0.617	-0.094	-1.005
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.397	-0.514	-0.386	-0.532	-0.396	-0.592	-0.367	-0.503	-0.384	-0.615	-0.468	-0.949	-0.449	-0.591	-0.483	-0.713	-0.579	-1.044
$T = 250$	-0.216	-0.338	-0.207	-0.359	-0.202	-0.428	-0.195	-0.350	-0.202	-0.454	-0.228	-0.770	-0.245	-0.409	-0.256	-0.519	-0.294	-0.828
$T = 2500$	-0.068	-0.232	-0.065	-0.263	-0.060	-0.334	-0.061	-0.267	-0.063	-0.384	-0.069	-0.666	-0.077	-0.304	-0.079	-0.418	-0.087	-0.702

Note: Given (α, T) , the frequencies of risk underrating for the coherent TCE, ES, MVaR, and EVaR forecasts agree with each other in case of the Poi-INARCH models, see Tables S.5, S.7, and S.9. This is explained by the fact that the 1-step-ahead forecast distribution of these models is a one-parameter Poi-distribution: since all risk measures are strictly increasing in the Poisson's mean, we have a unique type of deviation from the true risk value.

Table S.7: Relative frequency of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.35$ in case of of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.187	0.584	0.176	0.543	0.165	0.479	0.187	0.630	0.192	0.589	0.199	0.502	0.227	0.599	0.234	0.565	0.254	0.505
$T = 250$	0.112	0.609	0.102	0.548	0.097	0.467	0.109	0.664	0.110	0.598	0.112	0.489	0.135	0.623	0.141	0.571	0.151	0.486
$T = 2500$	0.036	0.630	0.035	0.563	0.031	0.466	0.033	0.696	0.033	0.602	0.031	0.490	0.043	0.643	0.043	0.579	0.047	0.479
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$ 0.433	0.479	0.405	0.436	0.338	0.384	0.494	0.555	0.507	0.524	0.484	0.461	0.503	0.534	0.503	0.512	0.497	0.470	
$T = 250$	0.458	0.466	0.437	0.406	0.393	0.350	0.505	0.564	0.498	0.510	0.498	0.444	0.504	0.533	0.502	0.501	0.491	0.444
$T = 2500$	0.486	0.456	0.480	0.394	0.458	0.330	0.505	0.574	0.504	0.515	0.492	0.439	0.500	0.537	0.502	0.496	0.495	0.434
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.433	0.479	0.405	0.436	0.338	0.384	0.494	0.555	0.507	0.524	0.484	0.461	0.503	0.534	0.503	0.512	0.497	0.470
$T = 250$	0.458	0.466	0.437	0.406	0.393	0.350	0.505	0.564	0.498	0.510	0.498	0.444	0.504	0.533	0.502	0.501	0.491	0.444
$T = 2500$	0.486	0.456	0.480	0.394	0.458	0.330	0.505	0.574	0.504	0.515	0.492	0.439	0.500	0.537	0.502	0.496	0.495	0.434
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.478	0.690	0.466	0.642	0.435	0.568	0.494	0.734	0.507	0.671	0.484	0.551	0.503	0.677	0.503	0.628	0.497	0.552
$T = 250$	0.489	0.771	0.477	0.691	0.462	0.576	0.505	0.815	0.498	0.696	0.498	0.554	0.504	0.734	0.502	0.654	0.491	0.535
$T = 2500$	0.497	0.852	0.494	0.740	0.483	0.586	0.505	0.901	0.504	0.722	0.492	0.548	0.500	0.787	0.502	0.680	0.495	0.530
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.483	0.605	0.473	0.560	0.445	0.496	0.494	0.659	0.507	0.611	0.484	0.516	0.503	0.614	0.503	0.578	0.497	0.518
$T = 250$	0.492	0.661	0.481	0.584	0.467	0.487	0.505	0.735	0.498	0.635	0.498	0.516	0.504	0.660	0.502	0.598	0.491	0.502
$T = 2500$	0.498	0.741	0.496	0.619	0.485	0.487	0.505	0.821	0.504	0.665	0.492	0.519	0.500	0.716	0.502	0.624	0.495	0.499

Table S.8: Mean severity of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.35$ in case of of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-1.063	-0.653	-1.071	-0.698	-1.128	-0.816	-1.061	-0.660	-1.104	-0.804	-1.206	-1.221	-1.119	-0.795	-1.164	-0.955	-1.275	-1.377
$T = 250$	-1.007	-0.491	-1.005	-0.533	-1.013	-0.667	-1.005	-0.520	-1.005	-0.648	-1.037	-1.074	-1.019	-0.613	-1.029	-0.769	-1.066	-1.177
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.406	-1.000	-0.453	-1.000	-0.580	-1.000	-0.434	-1.000	-0.584	-1.000	-0.955	-1.000	-0.519	-1.000	-0.664	-1.001	-1.052
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.480	-0.632	-0.479	-0.683	-0.558	-0.806	-0.428	-0.663	-0.445	-0.858	-0.529	-1.380	-0.538	-0.828	-0.578	-1.027	-0.694	-1.534
$T = 250$	-0.262	-0.449	-0.250	-0.507	-0.260	-0.671	-0.231	-0.501	-0.237	-0.695	-0.251	-1.239	-0.290	-0.630	-0.307	-0.836	-0.348	-1.353
$T = 2500$	-0.080	-0.348	-0.078	-0.418	-0.074	-0.596	-0.069	-0.401	-0.071	-0.614	-0.069	-1.118	-0.092	-0.527	-0.092	-0.729	-0.102	-1.228
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.439	-0.741	-0.425	-0.782	-0.462	-0.909	-0.430	-0.798	-0.446	-0.990	-0.542	-1.515	-0.539	-0.960	-0.580	-1.158	-0.701	-1.666
$T = 250$	-0.243	-0.548	-0.230	-0.595	-0.227	-0.758	-0.229	-0.633	-0.236	-0.818	-0.267	-1.348	-0.290	-0.759	-0.307	-0.956	-0.352	-1.469
$T = 2500$	-0.078	-0.446	-0.075	-0.503	-0.072	-0.677	-0.072	-0.548	-0.073	-0.742	-0.082	-1.245	-0.090	-0.658	-0.093	-0.854	-0.104	-1.344
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.411	-0.661	-0.401	-0.708	-0.431	-0.831	-0.398	-0.665	-0.415	-0.808	-0.505	-1.233	-0.498	-0.802	-0.536	-0.962	-0.652	-1.370
$T = 250$	-0.225	-0.482	-0.212	-0.525	-0.210	-0.667	-0.211	-0.508	-0.219	-0.645	-0.247	-1.058	-0.268	-0.608	-0.283	-0.761	-0.327	-1.166
$T = 2500$	-0.072	-0.387	-0.068	-0.436	-0.065	-0.578	-0.066	-0.422	-0.068	-0.577	-0.075	-0.968	-0.084	-0.508	-0.086	-0.652	-0.096	-1.040
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.387	-0.515	-0.380	-0.552	-0.403	-0.651	-0.367	-0.503	-0.384	-0.615	-0.468	-0.949	-0.461	-0.628	-0.496	-0.753	-0.603	-1.082
$T = 250$	-0.211	-0.340	-0.200	-0.372	-0.198	-0.481	-0.195	-0.350	-0.202	-0.454	-0.228	-0.770	-0.248	-0.440	-0.262	-0.555	-0.302	-0.862
$T = 2500$	-0.066	-0.235	-0.063	-0.277	-0.060	-0.383	-0.061	-0.267	-0.063	-0.384	-0.069	-0.666	-0.077	-0.335	-0.080	-0.444	-0.088	-0.726

Table S.9: Relative frequency of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.45$ in case of of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.165	0.561	0.157	0.527	0.150	0.472	0.187	0.630	0.192	0.589	0.199	0.502	0.230	0.581	0.238	0.549	0.257	0.502
$T = 250$	0.102	0.585	0.095	0.530	0.087	0.459	0.109	0.664	0.110	0.598	0.112	0.489	0.138	0.603	0.139	0.554	0.153	0.480
$T = 2500$	0.034	0.607	0.033	0.542	0.030	0.452	0.033	0.696	0.033	0.602	0.031	0.490	0.044	0.618	0.045	0.562	0.047	0.474
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.382	0.449	0.354	0.419	0.296	0.379	0.494	0.555	0.507	0.524	0.484	0.461	0.504	0.520	0.501	0.501	0.491	0.468
$T = 250$	0.425	0.432	0.405	0.389	0.355	0.346	0.505	0.564	0.498	0.510	0.498	0.444	0.502	0.520	0.503	0.490	0.488	0.442
$T = 2500$	0.474	0.421	0.464	0.374	0.442	0.327	0.505	0.574	0.504	0.515	0.492	0.439	0.498	0.522	0.500	0.486	0.494	0.429
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.428	0.642	0.408	0.576	0.365	0.485	0.494	0.757	0.507	0.678	0.484	0.550	0.504	0.660	0.501	0.615	0.491	0.542
$T = 250$	0.457	0.704	0.444	0.598	0.409	0.472	0.505	0.831	0.498	0.700	0.498	0.551	0.502	0.702	0.503	0.632	0.488	0.522
$T = 2500$	0.486	0.763	0.478	0.622	0.462	0.460	0.505	0.898	0.504	0.728	0.492	0.550	0.498	0.734	0.500	0.647	0.494	0.517
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.448	0.670	0.437	0.626	0.410	0.554	0.494	0.734	0.507	0.671	0.484	0.551	0.504	0.649	0.501	0.610	0.491	0.548
$T = 250$	0.469	0.749	0.461	0.672	0.439	0.560	0.505	0.815	0.498	0.696	0.498	0.554	0.502	0.697	0.503	0.630	0.488	0.526
$T = 2500$	0.489	0.826	0.483	0.715	0.474	0.566	0.505	0.901	0.504	0.722	0.492	0.548	0.498	0.734	0.500	0.650	0.494	0.517
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	0.459	0.582	0.450	0.545	0.424	0.487	0.494	0.659	0.507	0.611	0.484	0.516	0.504	0.593	0.501	0.562	0.491	0.514
$T = 250$	0.477	0.633	0.469	0.565	0.447	0.476	0.505	0.735	0.498	0.635	0.498	0.516	0.502	0.633	0.503	0.580	0.488	0.495
$T = 2500$	0.493	0.703	0.486	0.590	0.477	0.473	0.505	0.821	0.504	0.665	0.492	0.519	0.498	0.672	0.500	0.598	0.494	0.490

Table S.10: Mean severity of risk underrating for Poisson INAR(2), INARCH(1) and INARCH(2) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different (α, T) , $\alpha_2 = 0.45$ in case of of the second-order models and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and all levels of μ .

	Poi-INAR(2) DGP						Poi-INARCH(1) DGP						Poi-INARCH(2) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-1.053	-0.639	-1.063	-0.702	-1.108	-0.849	-1.061	-0.660	-1.104	-0.804	-1.206	-1.221	-1.132	-0.855	-1.185	-1.025	-1.295	-1.423
$T = 250$	-1.005	-0.481	-1.005	-0.541	-1.012	-0.708	-1.005	-0.520	-1.005	-0.648	-1.037	-1.074	-1.021	-0.670	-1.031	-0.821	-1.074	-1.247
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.401	-1.000	-0.459	-1.000	-0.622	-1.000	-0.434	-1.000	-0.584	-1.000	-0.955	-1.000	-0.576	-1.000	-0.720	-1.001	-1.111
TCE_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.469	-0.616	-0.474	-0.684	-0.548	-0.838	-0.428	-0.663	-0.445	-0.858	-0.529	-1.380	-0.551	-0.905	-0.601	-1.109	-0.720	-1.587
$T = 250$	-0.258	-0.439	-0.249	-0.516	-0.251	-0.720	-0.231	-0.501	-0.237	-0.695	-0.251	-1.239	-0.298	-0.710	-0.305	-0.904	-0.358	-1.433
$T = 2500$	-0.080	-0.346	-0.078	-0.426	-0.073	-0.640	-0.069	-0.401	-0.071	-0.614	-0.069	-1.118	-0.093	-0.608	-0.095	-0.802	-0.102	-1.311
ES_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.407	-0.715	-0.399	-0.784	-0.426	-0.942	-0.430	-0.798	-0.446	-0.990	-0.542	-1.515	-0.550	-1.035	-0.604	-1.237	-0.725	-1.715
$T = 250$	-0.234	-0.529	-0.221	-0.601	-0.212	-0.804	-0.229	-0.633	-0.236	-0.818	-0.267	-1.348	-0.296	-0.835	-0.310	-1.026	-0.365	-1.556
$T = 2500$	-0.078	-0.432	-0.074	-0.506	-0.070	-0.723	-0.072	-0.548	-0.073	-0.742	-0.082	-1.245	-0.092	-0.737	-0.095	-0.919	-0.106	-1.429
MVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.381	-0.648	-0.379	-0.715	-0.410	-0.866	-0.398	-0.665	-0.415	-0.808	-0.505	-1.233	-0.509	-0.863	-0.558	-1.028	-0.676	-1.413
$T = 250$	-0.214	-0.474	-0.203	-0.535	-0.202	-0.706	-0.211	-0.508	-0.219	-0.645	-0.247	-1.058	-0.274	-0.667	-0.286	-0.816	-0.341	-1.235
$T = 2500$	-0.070	-0.383	-0.066	-0.443	-0.063	-0.612	-0.066	-0.422	-0.068	-0.577	-0.075	-0.968	-0.085	-0.569	-0.087	-0.708	-0.099	-1.106
EVaR_{0.95}																		
$T = 75$	-0.362	-0.505	-0.365	-0.558	-0.395	-0.680	-0.367	-0.503	-0.384	-0.615	-0.468	-0.949	-0.471	-0.676	-0.517	-0.809	-0.626	-1.120
$T = 250$	-0.200	-0.333	-0.193	-0.379	-0.195	-0.513	-0.195	-0.350	-0.202	-0.454	-0.228	-0.770	-0.254	-0.483	-0.264	-0.596	-0.314	-0.915
$T = 2500$	-0.065	-0.232	-0.061	-0.284	-0.059	-0.408	-0.061	-0.267	-0.063	-0.384	-0.069	-0.666	-0.079	-0.381	-0.081	-0.486	-0.090	-0.773

Note: In analogy to Tables S.5, S.7, and S.9, for given parametrization, the frequencies of risk underrating for the coherent TCE, ES, MVaR, and EVaR forecasts of the ll-Poi-AR(1) model agree with each other, see Table S.11. This is again explained by having the one-parameter Poisson forecast distribution.

Table S.11: Frequency of risk underrating for ll-Poi-AR(1) DGP, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $\alpha = 0.55$ and $T = 250$ and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs.

	$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 0.5$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$		$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 1$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$		$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 2$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	0.119	0.818	0.108	0.780	0.106	0.908	0.021	0.549	0.085	0.763	0.103	0.894	0.093	0.647	0.226	0.814	0.256	0.960						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	0.105	0.652	0.149	0.697	0.178	0.831	0.114	0.609	0.157	0.692	0.181	0.821	0.201	0.587	0.235	0.677	0.287	0.822						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	0.152	0.579	0.123	0.548	0.182	0.646	0.135	0.492	0.159	0.539	0.218	0.584	0.172	0.421	0.239	0.460	0.281	0.511						
TCE_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	0.518	0.694	0.534	0.724	0.524	0.880	0.517	0.480	0.489	0.694	0.491	0.876	0.505	0.555	0.519	0.772	0.515	0.957						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	0.533	0.557	0.513	0.619	0.521	0.797	0.501	0.524	0.503	0.637	0.492	0.779	0.493	0.513	0.501	0.621	0.494	0.809						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	0.508	0.473	0.517	0.504	0.538	0.600	0.511	0.438	0.528	0.488	0.516	0.548	0.483	0.363	0.477	0.425	0.490	0.501						
ES_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	0.518	0.805	0.534	0.832	0.524	0.937	0.517	0.798	0.489	0.848	0.491	0.936	0.505	0.789	0.519	0.877	0.515	0.979						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	0.533	0.763	0.513	0.816	0.521	0.900	0.501	0.754	0.503	0.778	0.492	0.885	0.493	0.661	0.501	0.757	0.494	0.879						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	0.508	0.602	0.517	0.636	0.538	0.745	0.511	0.552	0.528	0.592	0.516	0.677	0.483	0.439	0.477	0.492	0.490	0.541						
MVaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	0.518	0.828	0.534	0.870	0.524	0.933	0.517	0.808	0.489	0.839	0.491	0.929	0.505	0.770	0.519	0.849	0.515	0.965						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	0.533	0.811	0.513	0.832	0.521	0.906	0.501	0.765	0.503	0.780	0.492	0.866	0.493	0.649	0.501	0.725	0.494	0.846						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	0.508	0.673	0.517	0.677	0.538	0.743	0.511	0.564	0.528	0.604	0.516	0.652	0.483	0.430	0.477	0.475	0.490	0.520						
EVaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	0.518	0.744	0.534	0.808	0.524	0.899	0.517	0.749	0.489	0.788	0.491	0.897	0.505	0.725	0.519	0.805	0.515	0.957						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	0.533	0.701	0.513	0.744	0.521	0.853	0.501	0.676	0.503	0.717	0.492	0.808	0.493	0.598	0.501	0.677	0.494	0.787						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	0.508	0.536	0.517	0.577	0.538	0.655	0.511	0.490	0.528	0.556	0.516	0.580	0.483	0.424	0.477	0.461	0.490	0.491						

Table S.12: Frequency of risk underrating for ll-Poi-AR(1) DGP, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for different $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $\alpha = 0.55$ and $T = 250$ and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs.

	$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 0.5$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$		$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 1$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$		$\gamma_1 = 0$		$\gamma_0 = 2$		$\gamma_1 = 0.001$		$\gamma_1 = 0.003$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	-1.000	-0.484	-1.009	-0.655	-1.009	-0.886	-1.000	-0.465	-1.000	-0.634	-1.000	-1.080	-1.011	-0.575	-1.022	-0.921	-1.055	-1.711						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (1, 1)$	-1.000	-0.514	-1.000	-0.688	-1.011	-1.066	-1.000	-0.618	-1.013	-0.804	-1.028	-1.229	-1.020	-0.844	-1.077	-1.126	-1.202	-2.156						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (2, 2)$	-1.000	-0.727	-1.000	-0.879	-1.027	-1.463	-1.030	-0.881	-1.038	-1.173	-1.046	-1.906	-1.064	-1.452	-1.105	-2.118	-1.217	-3.614						
TCE_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	-0.235	-0.454	-0.221	-0.645	-0.228	-0.934	-0.067	-0.470	-0.197	-0.651	-0.239	-1.160	-0.204	-0.602	-0.462	-0.960	-0.547	-1.862						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	-0.218	-0.521	-0.311	-0.720	-0.369	-1.138	-0.252	-0.654	-0.339	-0.840	-0.410	-1.362	-0.433	-0.927	-0.530	-1.243	-0.728	-2.377						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	-0.312	-0.827	-0.266	-0.964	-0.373	-1.668	-0.290	-0.984	-0.335	-1.338	-0.469	-2.181	-0.399	-1.784	-0.580	-2.468	-0.729	-4.042						
ES_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	-0.139	-0.620	-0.208	-0.821	-0.266	-1.286	-0.192	-0.656	-0.241	-0.905	-0.314	-1.500	-0.239	-0.800	-0.425	-1.197	-0.541	-2.222						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	-0.229	-0.666	-0.287	-0.839	-0.356	-1.341	-0.280	-0.759	-0.336	-1.004	-0.449	-1.566	-0.394	-1.038	-0.522	-1.355	-0.720	-2.535						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	-0.246	-0.872	-0.308	-1.097	-0.366	-1.659	-0.274	-1.073	-0.329	-1.399	-0.467	-2.078	-0.387	-1.810	-0.567	-2.470	-0.735	-4.149						
MVaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	-0.125	-0.538	-0.215	-0.684	-0.236	-1.048	-0.161	-0.554	-0.215	-0.737	-0.297	-1.209	-0.221	-0.619	-0.400	-0.951	-0.517	-1.788						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	-0.201	-0.563	-0.252	-0.707	-0.322	-1.093	-0.250	-0.620	-0.306	-0.819	-0.422	-1.287	-0.370	-0.822	-0.492	-1.111	-0.687	-2.121						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	-0.216	-0.670	-0.276	-0.866	-0.334	-1.370	-0.242	-0.851	-0.299	-1.114	-0.429	-1.766	-0.368	-1.466	-0.536	-2.089	-0.705	-3.637						
EVaR_{0.95}																								
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0, 0)$	-0.126	-0.319	-0.188	-0.451	-0.220	-0.729	-0.143	-0.360	-0.201	-0.498	-0.271	-0.871	-0.208	-0.436	-0.373	-0.702	-0.491	-1.332						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.1, 0.3)$	-0.180	-0.371	-0.227	-0.487	-0.293	-0.791	-0.229	-0.438	-0.278	-0.593	-0.385	-0.976	-0.344	-0.630	-0.461	-0.870	-0.652	-1.742						
$(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (0.2, 0.6)$	-0.191	-0.513	-0.244	-0.666	-0.312	-1.116	-0.222	-0.647	-0.280	-0.849	-0.395	-1.490	-0.342	-1.112	-0.503	-1.682	-0.669	-3.131						

Section 4.3 DGPs for Bounded Counts

Table S.13: Frequency of risk underrating for BinAR(1) and BinARCH(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for $\pi = 0.15$ and different T and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and $n = 10, \dots, 130$.

	BinAR(1) DGP						BinARCH(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.184	0.579	0.156	0.553	0.091	0.478	0.205	0.600	0.204	0.573	0.219	0.528
$T = 250$	0.106	0.607	0.097	0.569	0.076	0.494	0.118	0.632	0.119	0.601	0.122	0.547
$T = 2500$	0.032	0.627	0.033	0.582	0.033	0.506	0.039	0.660	0.038	0.623	0.036	0.566
TCE_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.469	0.446	0.401	0.400	0.278	0.304	0.503	0.485	0.503	0.472	0.504	0.459
$T = 250$	0.482	0.413	0.441	0.351	0.370	0.257	0.503	0.468	0.503	0.462	0.497	0.460
$T = 2500$	0.492	0.382	0.479	0.312	0.458	0.227	0.503	0.450	0.503	0.456	0.500	0.468
ES_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.489	0.669	0.434	0.628	0.301	0.556	0.503	0.685	0.503	0.644	0.504	0.572
$T = 250$	0.494	0.771	0.463	0.709	0.386	0.633	0.503	0.774	0.503	0.704	0.497	0.602
$T = 2500$	0.498	0.936	0.488	0.852	0.464	0.769	0.503	0.893	0.503	0.756	0.500	0.624
MVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.493	0.683	0.449	0.670	0.326	0.664	0.503	0.685	0.503	0.647	0.504	0.577
$T = 250$	0.496	0.786	0.471	0.765	0.400	0.781	0.503	0.773	0.503	0.712	0.497	0.609
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.944	0.490	0.909	0.468	0.925	0.503	0.903	0.503	0.768	0.500	0.636
EVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.495	0.602	0.462	0.578	0.359	0.529	0.503	0.613	0.503	0.587	0.504	0.537
$T = 250$	0.497	0.674	0.479	0.634	0.419	0.578	0.503	0.680	0.503	0.636	0.497	0.564
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.851	0.493	0.771	0.475	0.688	0.503	0.821	0.503	0.699	0.500	0.596

Table S.14: Mean severity of risk underrating for BinAR(1) and BinARCH(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for $\pi = 0.15$ and different T and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and $n = 10, \dots, 130$.

	BinAR(1) DGP						BinARCH(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-1.059	-0.609	-1.043	-0.558	-1.015	-0.444	-1.078	-0.670	-1.103	-0.748	-1.180	-0.988
$T = 250$	-1.006	-0.430	-1.004	-0.398	-1.001	-0.326	-1.010	-0.476	-1.013	-0.548	-1.028	-0.759
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.339	-1.000	-0.320	-1.000	-0.275	-1.000	-0.375	-1.000	-0.447	-1.000	-0.659
TCE_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.440	-0.571	-0.438	-0.509	-0.374	-0.382	-0.454	-0.645	-0.463	-0.745	-0.529	-1.019
$T = 250$	-0.237	-0.353	-0.245	-0.315	-0.238	-0.234	-0.245	-0.413	-0.249	-0.513	-0.261	-0.773
$T = 2500$	-0.071	-0.218	-0.078	-0.199	-0.085	-0.148	-0.079	-0.280	-0.078	-0.391	-0.076	-0.661
ES_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.425	-0.671	-0.396	-0.593	-0.340	-0.432	-0.450	-0.757	-0.466	-0.856	-0.532	-1.148
$T = 250$	-0.235	-0.441	-0.231	-0.383	-0.225	-0.265	-0.245	-0.522	-0.249	-0.626	-0.264	-0.912
$T = 2500$	-0.076	-0.302	-0.077	-0.252	-0.082	-0.163	-0.077	-0.389	-0.077	-0.510	-0.079	-0.815
MVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.402	-0.604	-0.360	-0.553	-0.275	-0.446	-0.433	-0.663	-0.448	-0.745	-0.513	-0.995
$T = 250$	-0.221	-0.404	-0.206	-0.372	-0.179	-0.308	-0.236	-0.454	-0.239	-0.532	-0.254	-0.765
$T = 2500$	-0.071	-0.283	-0.068	-0.264	-0.065	-0.235	-0.074	-0.329	-0.074	-0.423	-0.075	-0.666
EVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.379	-0.478	-0.336	-0.434	-0.242	-0.334	-0.412	-0.527	-0.427	-0.588	-0.491	-0.789
$T = 250$	-0.208	-0.289	-0.188	-0.260	-0.150	-0.190	-0.225	-0.329	-0.228	-0.385	-0.243	-0.552
$T = 2500$	-0.066	-0.157	-0.061	-0.140	-0.054	-0.098	-0.070	-0.197	-0.071	-0.270	-0.072	-0.442

Note: In analogy to Tables S.5, S.7, and S.9, for given (α, T) , the frequencies of risk underrating for the coherent TCE, ES, MVaR, and EVaR forecasts of the BinARCH(1) model nearly agree with each other, see Tables S.13 and S.15. This is again explained by having a one-parameter forecast distribution, now the binomial distribution. However, for $\alpha = 0.80$, there are sometimes slight deviations. These occur if some of the simulated risk forecasts became equal to the upper bound n of the range, because then, there might be exact matches instead of an underrating.

Table S.15: Frequency of risk underrating for BinAR(1) and BinARCH(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for $\pi = 0.45$ and different T and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and $n = 10, \dots, 130$.

	BinAR(1) DGP						BinARCH(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.205	0.498	0.185	0.495	0.109	0.448	0.228	0.515	0.227	0.504	0.234	0.463
$T = 250$	0.120	0.488	0.120	0.496	0.096	0.459	0.139	0.518	0.136	0.505	0.135	0.489
$T = 2500$	0.031	0.476	0.044	0.495	0.041	0.473	0.046	0.521	0.044	0.513	0.042	0.508
TCE_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.464	0.332	0.400	0.319	0.268	0.257	0.499	0.350	0.500	0.342	0.500	0.313
$T = 250$	0.480	0.234	0.440	0.223	0.367	0.183	0.501	0.260	0.500	0.251	0.499	0.248
$T = 2500$	0.493	0.093	0.480	0.106	0.455	0.110	0.500	0.120	0.501	0.120	0.499	0.143
ES_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.489	0.511	0.431	0.498	0.287	0.461	0.499	0.510	0.500	0.501	0.500	0.460
$T = 250$	0.495	0.509	0.462	0.496	0.380	0.481	0.501	0.513	0.500	0.506	0.499	0.495
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.512	0.488	0.504	0.461	0.528	0.500	0.524	0.501	0.537	0.499	0.580
MVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.491	0.573	0.445	0.571	0.310	0.572	0.499	0.568	0.500	0.559	0.500	0.518
$T = 250$	0.497	0.620	0.470	0.626	0.393	0.667	0.501	0.616	0.500	0.606	0.500	0.588
$T = 2500$	0.499	0.783	0.490	0.803	0.466	0.883	0.500	0.775	0.501	0.768	0.499	0.735
EVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	0.494	0.518	0.461	0.509	0.349	0.480	0.499	0.516	0.500	0.511	0.501	0.481
$T = 250$	0.498	0.525	0.479	0.515	0.415	0.497	0.501	0.527	0.500	0.523	0.500	0.515
$T = 2500$	0.500	0.563	0.493	0.555	0.471	0.549	0.500	0.571	0.501	0.579	0.500	0.599

Table S.16: Mean severity of risk underrating for BinAR(1) and BinARCH(1) DGPs, coherent (“Coh”) vs. approximate (“Gau”) forecasts, for $\pi = 0.45$ and different T and forecast horizon $h = 1$, computed across all simulation runs and $n = 10, \dots, 130$.

	BinAR(1) DGP						BinARCH(1) DGP					
	$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$		$\alpha = 0.33$		$\alpha = 0.55$		$\alpha = 0.80$	
	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau	Coh	Gau
VaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-1.114	-0.675	-1.101	-0.624	-1.058	-0.487	-1.135	-0.729	-1.146	-0.732	-1.210	-0.762
$T = 250$	-1.019	-0.416	-1.020	-0.390	-1.011	-0.319	-1.022	-0.452	-1.023	-0.450	-1.030	-0.453
$T = 2500$	-1.000	-0.259	-1.000	-0.264	-1.000	-0.246	-1.000	-0.282	-1.000	-0.280	-1.000	-0.293
TCE_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.512	-0.638	-0.539	-0.574	-0.482	-0.429	-0.516	-0.694	-0.519	-0.697	-0.562	-0.726
$T = 250$	-0.270	-0.334	-0.305	-0.305	-0.307	-0.223	-0.282	-0.372	-0.277	-0.370	-0.277	-0.368
$T = 2500$	-0.070	-0.104	-0.101	-0.101	-0.107	-0.087	-0.090	-0.123	-0.087	-0.120	-0.083	-0.132
ES_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.505	-0.722	-0.488	-0.641	-0.438	-0.473	-0.508	-0.763	-0.518	-0.768	-0.566	-0.789
$T = 250$	-0.282	-0.396	-0.284	-0.348	-0.294	-0.253	-0.277	-0.420	-0.278	-0.417	-0.282	-0.423
$T = 2500$	-0.090	-0.130	-0.095	-0.115	-0.106	-0.092	-0.086	-0.138	-0.086	-0.140	-0.086	-0.166
MVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.495	-0.670	-0.455	-0.603	-0.364	-0.466	-0.510	-0.704	-0.521	-0.711	-0.571	-0.741
$T = 250$	-0.275	-0.382	-0.261	-0.343	-0.241	-0.274	-0.278	-0.402	-0.279	-0.402	-0.284	-0.413
$T = 2500$	-0.087	-0.162	-0.086	-0.154	-0.087	-0.152	-0.087	-0.167	-0.087	-0.172	-0.086	-0.203
EVaR_{0.95}												
$T = 75$	-0.483	-0.568	-0.432	-0.512	-0.316	-0.391	-0.508	-0.602	-0.519	-0.610	-0.570	-0.647
$T = 250$	-0.267	-0.313	-0.241	-0.276	-0.197	-0.202	-0.277	-0.331	-0.278	-0.330	-0.284	-0.335
$T = 2500$	-0.084	-0.102	-0.079	-0.090	-0.070	-0.068	-0.086	-0.108	-0.087	-0.109	-0.086	-0.123