Diskussionspapierreihe Working Paper Series



# Shared Value Potential of Transporting Cargo via Hyperloop

Max Werner, Klaus Eissing and Sebastian Langtonk

> Nr./ No. 166 Mai 2016

Department of Economics Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre

#### Autoren / Authors

Max Werner Helmut-Schmidt-University Hamburg Volkswirtschaftslehre, insb. Public Economics werner.max@me.com

Klaus Eißing Olympus AG eissing@me.com

Sebastian Langton GUS Group Sebastian.langton@hsu-hh.de

<u>Redaktion / Editors</u> Helmut Schmidt Universität Hamburg / Helmut Schmidt University Hamburg Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre / Department of Economics

Eine elektronische Version des Diskussionspapiers ist auf folgender Internetseite zu finden / An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded from the homepage:

http://fgvwl.hsu-hh.de/wp-vwl

Koordinator / Coordinator Ralf Dewenter wp-vwl@hsu-hh.de Helmut Schmidt Universität Hamburg / Helmut Schmidt University Hamburg Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre / Department of Economics Diskussionspapier Nr. 166 Working Paper No. 166

# Shared Value Potential of Transporting Cargo via Hyperloop

Max Werner

Klaus Eißing

Sebastian Langton

# Zusammenfassung / Abstract

This research estimates the shared value created by constructing a hypothetical Hyperloop to transport cargo along 300 km in Northern Germany. Following Porter-Kramer (2011), we identified and evaluated eight factors that create shared value: travel speed, operating costs, safety, noise pollution, air pollution, climate effect/carbon footprint, separation effect/ property efficiency, and maintenance. Using official data compiled by several German institutes and organizations, we conducted comparative analysis to quantify and compare the abovementioned factors for Hyperloop and over-the-road cargo transport in Germany. Then, we monetized the individual and collective benefits of the shared value created by Hyperloop replacing a significant share of cargo transported by truck. Our findings indicate that the hypothetical Hyperloop project in Northern Germany would create  $\in 660$  to  $\in 900$  million of shared value annually. Our research method establishes a framework for assessing future transportation projects like Hyperloop, and our findings can be generalized to industrialized nations beyond Germany.

JEL-Klassifikation / JEL-Classification: R49, Q55, L99

Schlagworte / Keywords: Transportation, Technology, Innovation, Logistic, Shared Value, Cargo transportation

# Content

1. Introduction	2
2. The Hyperloop System	3
2.1 General information	3
2.2 Key performance indicators	3
3. Research methodology	5
3.1 Evaluating shared value	5
3.2 Case study assumptions	5
4. Shared value	6
4.1 Identification	6
4.2 Analysis	6
4.2.1 Travel speed	6
4.2.2 Operating costs	7
4.2.3 Safety	9
4.2.4 Noise pollution	9
4.2.5 Air pollution	10
4.2.6 Climate effect / Carbon footprint	11
4.2.7 Separation effect and property efficiency	11
4.2.8 Running maintenance costs	12
4.3 Monetary evaluation	13
5. Conclusion	14
6. Acknowledgments	15
7. References	16

# 1. Introduction

When Hyperloop was announced in 2013, the Internet was ablaze over this new, magical form of transportation that promised 1,200 kph speeds. (Yarrow 2013). Google search requests for "Hyperloop," at their peak in 2013, outnumbered searches for "Kuehne & Nagel" or even "Dachser" by more than double.<sup>1</sup> The concept of a fifth mode of transportation that was faster than commercial air travel, more energy efficient than train travel, and as accessible as a personal automobile touched a nerve (Musk 2013).

The performance of Hyperloop technology was not the sole source of enthusiasm. When Hyperloop was announced, a similar concept—Evacuated Tube Transportation (ETT)— celebrated its 14<sup>th</sup> anniversary without significant notice even though its maximum speeds of 4,000 mph far surpass those of Hyperloop, with comparable efficiency (Oster et al. 2011). The enthusiasm surrounding Hyperloop likely had to do with its originator, Elon Musk. The co-founder of PayPal and Solar City and founder and CEO of SpaceX and Tesla Motors has a well-publicized reputation for making the impossible possible. In fact, the correlation between search requests for "Elon Musk" and those for "Hyperloop" is a strong 0.8.

Hyperloop needs mass attention and support. It is a multi-billion-dollar infrastructure undertaking and the first megaproject funded via crowdsourcing (Hyperloop Transportation Technologies 2014). It presents the confluence of customary risks from researching and developing futuristic technology, the well-known risks of huge projects, and unconventional funding (Flyvbjerg et al. 2003). Moreover, the large number of stakeholders affected include property owners, environmentalists, and political decision-makers, who bet reputations and votes on endorsing such megaprojects.

This exploratory case study examines the potential shared value created by constructing a Hyperloop cargo transport network across 300 km of Northern Germany (Hyperloop NG). We initially extract four key performance indicators from the Hyperloop Alpha Paper (the Alpha Paper). Released by Tesla Motors and SpaceX in 2013, the Alpha Paper is the groundwork discussion of Hyperloop's concept, technical specifications, and economic feasibility. We identify eight fundamental variables that tie key performance indicators to shared value creation. We calculate and statistically compare the eight fundamental variables individually and collectively. Then we monetize the individual and collective benefits of the shared value created if Hyperloop NG replaces a significant share of cargo currently transported by truck.

The shared value approach represents the "What's in it for me?" of Hyperloop project for society (Porter and Kramer 2011b). This may be in particular relevant as the Hyperloop project is a megaproject on the first and a high tech project on the other hand. Therefore, it is much likely to be very sensitive to stakeholder satisfaction. Shared value as value that is created for society in addition to value created for the actual customers, is a key indicator to estimate the realization probability of the Hyperloop in general.

Since the Alpha Paper was released, several studies have considered Hyperloop's engineering aspects. Few address its economic potential beyond intra-project concerns. Only one paper addresses shared value, and it confines itself to the free energy created by solar panels that power Hyperloop (Martin 2014). This study is the first exploratory empirical assessment of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Regarding to Google Trends. "Kuehne & Nagel," "Dachser," and "Hyperloop."

Hyperloop's shared value potential for transporting cargo. As such, its case study for Northern Germany is a framework for shared value assessments of future projects elsewhere.

# 2. The Hyperloop System

# **2.1 General information**

Hyperloop is a tube-based transportation mechanism conceived by scientists at Tesla Motors and SpaceX. Its working principle is that of an evacuated tube housing a capsule that accelerates to speeds surpassing conventional modes of transportation. Its principle appears in earlier transportation concepts, including ETT and Swissmetro (Jufer et al. 1993).

The primary difference between Hyperloop and similar concepts is the functioning of air inside the tube. Whereas the other concepts employ a vacuum to erase air drag, Hyperloop compresses the air inside the tube to a ratio of 20:1. Energy costs to contain the operating environment drop significantly.<sup>2</sup>

Figure 1 Hyperloop capsule as proposed in the Hyperloop Alpha Paper (Musk 2013)

The Hyperloop capsule is accelerated by an advanced linear system with a moving motor element mounted on the underside of the capsule and a stationary motor element mounted to the tube (Figure 1). Because of low air resistance, Hyperloop requires those propulsion elements only to accelerate or decelerate in short tube sections. The capsule contains independent brakes and emergency wheels.

Although technological aspects of Hyperloop occupied scientists at Tesla and SpaceX, other problems, especially issues related to land use, appeared at the project's onset. The Hyperloop Alpha Paper suggested building the Hyperloop tube on the hard shoulder of highways atop pylons supporting two tube lanes per pylon. This configuration would avoid use of land held by nongovernmental owners and limit the number of parties negotiating for its use.

The Hyperloop concept was unveiled in a passenger and a passenger-plus-cargo-car version. This study concerns the second version. As described in the Alpha Paper, Hyperloop is intended to relieve existing rail and road infrastructure with a more advanced and efficient technology. Our study quantifies and monetizes that efficiency.

# **2.2 Key performance indicators**

The Alpha Paper is the primary source of information about Hyperloop and the source on which ongoing approaches are based. We extracted from it four key performance indicators on which to base estimates of shared value.

a) Speed: The Alpha Paper postulates average travel speeds of 300 mph/480 kmh ( $v_u$ ) through urban areas and difficult course sections and 760 mph/1,220 kmh( $v_t$ ) through regular course sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Detailed numbers for energy efficiency are in Section 4.2.2.

Capsules attained speeds of 200 mph/320 kmh (Citron 2015) in proof-of-concept tests by Hyperloop Transportation Technologies (HTT). This study relies on speeds indicated in the Alpha Paper.

 $v_u = 480 \text{ kmh},$  (1)  $v_t = 1,220 \text{ kmh}.$  (2)

b) Frequency: The Alpha Paper indicates that at peak system performance a capsule departs each lane every 30 seconds  $(h_p)$ . On average, one capsule per lane departs every two minutes  $(h_A)$ . The third but unspecified departure interval is less than every two minutes. Generally, capsules depart on command. We adjust the two specified departure frequencies for demand assumed in the case study.

$$h_{A} = 0.5 \frac{launch}{min}, \quad (3)$$
$$h_{p} = 2 \frac{launch}{min}. \quad (4)$$

c) Payload: Although the Alpha Paper does not delineate payloads, it does describe the components and weights of passenger and cargo capsules. Because this study investigates only cargo transport, we adjusted those values (Table 1). For example, we eliminated Interior & Seats and Passengers & Luggage and lightened Capsule Structure and Doors by 400 kg to befit cargo transport. We assumed an average cargo payload of 12,000 kg  $(l_c)$ .

$$l_c = 12,000 \ kg$$
 (5)

#### Table 1: Capsule and payload components

d) Energy consumption: Hyperloop was designed to be self-powered by solar arrays atop its tube. The Alpha Paper estimates that the larger cargo version of the Hyperloop capsule consumes an average 66,000 hp/49 MW to operate bi-directionally. However, that estimate is based on solar conditions in California. For operations in Northern Germany, we assumed worst-case energy consumption of 49 MW for  $h_A(P_A)$ . The Alpha Paper indicates that launching one capsule consumes 0.5% of all required energy. A departure frequency of  $h_A$  demands 14.7 MWh. More frequent departures  $(h_p)$  raise energy required by approximately 29.4 MWh  $(P_p)$ .

 $P_A = 49 \ MWh,$  (6)  $P_p = 78.4 \ MWh$ . (7)

# **3. Research methodology**

# **3.1 Evaluating shared value**

Shared value is seldom calculated for projects that are not operating when they are studied. Given the singularity of the Hyperloop project, especially its crowdsource funding, assessing shared value is essential to assessing its benefits. The evaluation involved four steps.

First, we identified relevant shared value factors that represent potential benefits to society by transporting cargo via Hyperloop in the hypothesized market.

Second, we identified eight fundamental variables and quantified their absolute changes as indicators of heightened or diminished potential shared value.

Third, we monetized the shared value of each fundamental variable using published European data for external costs to transport cargo. If paired comparisons were not available, we monetized variables independently as if their shared value potential had been realized.

Fourth, we calculated total shared value as the sum of each monetized shared value. The following example illustrates this evaluation:

Shared value factor - Travel time
 Fundamental variable - Reduced travel time
 <u>Evaluation</u> - Monetized value of reduced travel time
 Shared value for the shared value factor "travel time"

# **3.2** Case study assumptions

We assume that published documentation and official statistics describing Hyperloop, its technical performance, and demographics of Hyperloop NG's hypothetical market are reliable, although we acknowledge that actual implementation remains far distant.

**a) Technical features**: We assume Hyperloop NG is a two-lane, tube-based, pylon-mounted, cargo transportation infrastructure that exhibits the key performance indicators in Section 2.2. For simplicity, we assume it has only two stations at the beginning and end of its route that are capable of transshipping all incoming or outgoing cargo. Prior studies indicate its capsule can transport 87% of statistically identified cargo (Werner 2014).

**b)** Target market: Following the procedure recommended by HTT's Crowdstorm Documentation, we situated the hypothetical Hyperloop in Northern Germany. We examined several infrastructure networks there and chose that offering superior market potential. Comprehensive market potential for Hyperloop NG is hard to assess. We presuppose there are no intermediate substations (i.e., interim delivery points) along its hypothetical route; therefore, we can calculate values only for cargo transported along entire course sections.

*Statistisches Bundesamt* (2013) provides selected data for quantities of cargo transported among Germany's 16 federal states and more detailed data for its three city-states. We use its figures for the industrially relevant cities to calculate the adjusted amount of cargo transported as the share of total cargo transported in the respective state. We use published data for the markets served.

#### Figure 2 Hypothetical target market—Hyperloop NG Network

Hyperloop NG is approximately 300 km long (Figure 2). It connects three federal states, four major cities, and two of Germany's biggest industrial harbors. In 2013, 19,396,000 tons of payload transited among the three states (Werner 2014). Hence, market size, urban growth, and an infrastructure-friendly setting endorse Hyperloop NG's potential for transporting cargo.

Consistent with Hyperloop's founding purpose, we assume Hyperloop NG will supplant some of the rising quantity of cargo transported over-the-road in Northern Germany (Werner 2014).

# 4. Shared value

### 4.1 Identification

Porter and Kramer (2011a) define shared value creation as the integration of social welfare into economic value within a business model. Business models that capture shared value include socially focused start-ups like Indiegogo or Sanergy, the managements of which realize that enhancing social welfare presents large opportunities (Porter and Kramer 2011b). Shared value is the creation of new value. It does not originate from extant value propositions.

Porter and Kramer identify three characteristics of value creation that we used in identifying shared value factors underlying Hyperloop NG's development:

- 1.) Reconceiving needs, products, and customers
- 2.) Redefining productivity in the value chain
- 3.) Enabling development of local cluster (Porter and Kramer 2011b)

Apart from delivering packages sooner, a Hyperloop that transports cargo seemingly does not benefit households—although we do confirm important indirect benefits. Households may even suffer inconvenience during its construction (e.g., traffic jams). Applying Hyperloop technology to public transportation would engender direct benefits, but that remains for later study.

### 4.2 Analysis

### 4.2.1 Travel speed

Hyperloop would significantly reduce the time to transport goods between cities.

**a)** Cargo transport time: Although it is hard to define average speeds for all categories of cargo trucks and along specific sections of road, Pumberger et al. (2015) estimate average speed for trucks on German highways at 85 kmh. We assume trucks average 30 to 50 kmh on roads leading to highways, as that is the customary speed limit on German secondary roads.

Assuming a road-to-highway ratio between 20/80 and 30/70, cargo trucks in Germany average 69 kmh to 78 kmh.

Table 2 indicates speeds for theoretical Hyperloop Alpha. The Alpha Paper assumes the cargo capsule accelerates at a uniform 0.5g toward maximum speed of 1,200 kmh (Musk 2013). Thus, average speed across all course sections of Hyperloop NG approximates 1,054 kmh.<sup>3</sup>

Hyperloop infrastructure would boost average cargo transportation speed 1,350%. Accelerated delivery times redefine productivity throughout the supply chains of industries that ship via the faster infrastructure. Faster cargo transport speed qualifies as level two shared value on Porter-Kramer's index.

#### Table 2: Average speed

**b)** Average travel speed: Hyperloop indirectly would raise average travel speeds for highway drivers. Given starting frequency  $h_A$ , payload  $l_c$ , and relative operating percentages of 75% to 90% annually (*opday<sub>annual</sub>*) while operating 16 to 24 hours daily (*ophour<sub>day</sub>*), Hyperloop could transport 3.2 to 5.7 million tons of payload yearly ( $l_{annual}$ ).

 $l_{annual} = 2 l_c \cdot 60 h_A \cdot opday_{annual} \cdot ophour_{day} \quad (5) + (3) \mid (10)$ 

Nearly 38% of German trucks are empty on return trips (Hütter 2013), and the average payload per truck is 15 tons (Krapf 2001). Therefore, Hyperloop would supplant 214,000 to 380,000 trucks yearly and reduce highway traffic 1.12% to 1.99% (Bundesregierung 2015).

Although a reduction of 1.12% to 1.99% seems unimpressive, it pertains only to truck traffic, which comprises 20% of crowded, daytime highway traffic (*Bundesministerium für Verkehr* 1990). In absolute numbers, the total truck amount of 10,107 per day would be reduced to 1,042 (5.8% to 10.3% as for the respective scenario). *Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen und Verkehrswesen* (2015) categorizes four percentages of truck concentration on highways: below 5%, 5% to 10%, 10% to 20%, and over 20%. Each increase reduces road capacity 100 to 200 cars per hour. Anticipated reductions in the lower categories suggests a higher capacity of 150 to 300 cars per hour on roads along Hyperloop NG. Holtermann et al. (2015) estimate that traffic volume along Hyperloop NG's route will fluctuate between 64% and 94%. Traffic volume < 75% (> 75%) is defined as unencumbered (encumbered) (*Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen und Verkehrswesen 2015*).

Displacing truck traffic rates as level one shared value creation on Porter's index.

# 4.2.2 Operating costs

Hyperloop reduces cargo transport costs, creating non-industrial and industrial shared value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We do not calculate shipment loading and processing times, which arguably are identical for transport over the road and via Hyperloop.

a) Cargo transportation cost: Average costs of transporting cargo by train in Germany are  $\in 2.5$  to  $\in 5$  per 100 tons per kilometer; average cost of transporting cargo by truck is  $\in 10$  per 100 tons per kilometer (Fraunhofer Institut 2013). Even so, 72% of German goods are transported by truck, and that share is rising without foreseeable change (Hütter 2013) primarily because shippers value the flexibility of highway shipments over rigid train schedules.

The Hyperloop competes with truck transport. However, Hyperloop is likely to offer shippers lower costs because of its singular technology. Moreover, Bruns, Zelewski, and Klumpp (2010) rank considerations that affect German shippers' choice of transport and find that flexible scheduling ranks a distant fourth behind price, reliability, and speed. Accordingly, Hyperloop appears competitive.<sup>4</sup>

Energy is Hyperloop's main operating expense. Germany's average 2014 price for industrial energy was 8.44 euro cents per kWh  $(p_{energy})$ .<sup>5</sup> With peak energy consumption  $(P_p)$  of 78.4 MWh, Hyperloop NG would spend  $\in 18$  to  $\in 58$  million yearly on energy.

Detailed data for consumption over specific tube distances are unavailable, but the 49 MW indicated in the Alpha Paper likely exceeds Hyperloop NG's actual requirements. This statistical imprecision may serve as a buffer against other computational uncertainties with respect to results that are more in favor with the Hyperloop technology.<sup>6</sup>

Hyperloop incurs costs for coolant water (negligible) monitoring (like all operational systems), and perhaps for transshipping cargo. We pessimistically assume these costs are 80% to 100% of total energy cost (factor of 1.8).<sup>7</sup>

$$p_{viabls} = \frac{\left(p_{snsrgy} * Pp * opdays_{annual} * ophour_{day}\right) * 1.8}{d_{tubs} * l_{annual}} \quad (6) + (10) \mid (11)$$

Calculated for the 3.2 to 5.7 million tons of cargo potentially transported by Hyperloop NG, its viable price  $(p_{viable})$  would be 1.9 to 6.8 euro cents per ton per kilometer without the buffering factor. Including the buffer factor the viable price would be in between 3.4 to 9.8 euro cents per ton per kilometer. As Hyperloop NG expands and economies of scale take effect, this cost presumably declines.

**b)** Traffic density: Hyperloop NG would replace 214,000 to 380,000 trucks yearly, enlarge roadway capacity by 150 to 300 cars per hour, and increase average travel speeds along its route. In doing so, it would reduce transportation costs by reducing fuel consumption. Mohamed (2012) estimates fuel savings of  $\in 62$  yearly per vehicle in Germany. The reduction in truck traffic could produce savings reaching  $\in 163$  million yearly. Those savings raise Hyperloop NG's shared value for Germany's industrial and household sectors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Hyperloop outperforms every competing transportation concept for speed and reliability. Detailed information appears in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Price according to Eurostat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Energy demand of 49 MW was originally proposed for the distance between Los Angeles and San Francisco (620 km +).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hyperloop's transshipment and monitoring costs tie to energy demand. As launch frequency rises, more cargo needs to be transshipped and monitored.

Hyperloop enhancement to the industrial supply chain and logistical competitiveness qualify as level two shared value creation on Porters scale. The non-industrial approach is a satisfaction of society's demand for freer traffic flow and lower transportation costs.

# 4.2.3 Safety

Hyperloop potentially will reduce the number of accidents by reducing volume of over-theroad cargo, and its technology presents less exposure to operations that produce accidents.

**a)** Accident occurrence: Transporting cargo via Hyperloop requires minimal human involvement, the primary cause of accidents. Of the 18,452 accidents on German highways in 2013, 11,240 (60%) involved cargo vehicles (*Statistisches Bundesamt* 2014a) and 5,504 (29%) featured truck drivers as their primary cause (*Statistisches Bundesamt* 2014b).

Approximately 1,293,000 trucks each bearing 15 tons of payload transported cargo across the route anticipated for Hyperloop NG in 2013. By supplanting 214,000 to 380,000 trucks, Hyperloop NG potentially reduces the number of yearly accidents by 922 to 1,660 (5% to 9%). Given death rates in accidents involving trucks, Hyperloop NG could prevent 80 to 144 traffic deaths yearly.

Also, Hyperloop systems and standards were established for travel between earthquake-prone Los Angeles and San Francisco. Northern Germany presents considerably fewer equivalent hazards.

**b) Reliability**: No extant data endorse Hyperloop's reliability, but the following considerations suggest it is more reliable than rival systems.

Hyperloop infrastructure is generally immune to external factors like weather, other drivers, or daylight. Each capsule is equipped with emergency power and interconnected emergency brakes. Depressurization or structural failure within the tube activates all brakes equally. Absent large-scale accidents, capsules float on air without propulsion and cannot become stranded within the tube. A capsule can slow only as it approaches acceleration patterns<sup>8</sup> and stations. Both likely are located near emergency exits. The capsule can negotiate the short distance between exits using its independent emergency wheel system.

By minimizing human participation in transporting cargo, Hyperloop becomes more reliable as well as safer. Enhanced safety also raises the reliability of transporting cargo through freer flow of industrial and non-industrial traffic.

Hyperloop's prospective safety represents level one shared value creation, and its reliability represents level two shared value creation on Porter's index.

# 4.2.4 Noise pollution

Road freight creates noise pollution, a matter of concern in conservation areas or cities. The attendant costs range from mere annoyance to hearing damage from noises above 85db and greater risk of cardiovascular disease (Essen et al. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Acceleration patterns serve as congestion patterns.

Hyperloop NG transits no conservation areas, and its hypothetical course ends before reaching urban Kiel, Lübeck, and Bremerhaven. Therefore, we consider potential noise pollution only for sections transiting Bremen (22 to 38 km), Hamburg (35 to 55 km) and intervening villages (a pooled area of 18 to 32 km). In total, 75 to 125 km are subject to noise pollution along Hyperloop NG.

According to governmental sources, the section near Hamburg encompasses 11,250 to 18,750 people (*Landesbetrieb Straßen Brücken und Gewässer* 2009) and the section near Bremen 2,950 to 5,100 (*Deputation für Umwelt Bau Verkehr Stadtentwicklung und Energie Bremen* 2014). We estimated the number of affected villagers based on the length of course sections and their rural population density of 1,200 to 2,100 persons. Overall, noise pollution from Hyperloop NG potentially affects 15,400 to 25,950 people.

However, its effect likely is negligible. Hyperloop's closed system generates almost no internal noise. The air cushion pressing against the tube's interiors wall can stimulate the tube to swing and create noise. The likelihood this noise will be damaging (> 50 db) is comparatively small, and tubes can be adjusted to minimize it (*Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen und Verkehrswesen* 2015). The telling consideration is that Hyperloop NG potentially reduces noise pollution by supplanting 214,000 to 380,000 trucks yearly along its course.

Reduced noise pollution qualifies as level one shared value creation on Porter's index.

# 4.2.5 Air pollution

German society understands the value of less-polluting technologies (Osberghaus et al. 2013). As a self-empowering system that could supplant extensive cargo traffic, Hyperloop could transform over-the-road freight transport into a cleaner industry.

We calculated an average carbon footprint for the 214,000 to 380,000 trucks Hyperloop NG could supplant yearly because no precise data allow computations by type of truck in Northern Germany. We used total over-the-road freight transport in 2010 in Germany (*Statista* 2015). The calculation was performed through the rule of three per ton per kilometer for methane, nitrous oxide, nitrous gases, non-methane gasses, volatile organic components, sulfur dioxide, and dust.

- 1.) Emissions per pollutant in Germany created by over-the-road freight transport
- 2.) Total emissions by pollutant in Germany (Thomas 2012)
- 3.) Total over-the-road freight transport figures in Germany during the respective years of data (2010)
- 4.) The rule of three for emissions in German over-the-road transport per pollutant scaled per ton per kilometer
- 5.) Substituted road-freight performance was calculated in Section 3.3.b.
- 6.) Multiplying 4 and 5 = Total substituted emissions per pollutant from Hyperloop NG
- 7.) Relative substitution per pollutant in Germany 2.)

Computations indicate that Hyperloop NG curtails emissions of 654 to 1,160 kg of methane, 4,170 to 7,405 kg of nitrous oxide, 596 to 1,057 kg of nitrous gases, 26,715 to 47,438 kg of non-methane volatile organic components, 1,902 to 3,377 kg of sulfur dioxide, and 15,527 to 27,571 kg of dust yearly. Hyperloop NG potentially abates 0.0146% to 0.2317% of emissions

of these respective pollutants in Germany. That achievement qualifies as level one shared value creation on Porter's index.

Those calculations ignore that Hyperloop is powered by solar energy, which produces the fewest pollutants of any power source in industrial Germany's energy mix.

## 4.2.6 Climate effect / Carbon footprint

German over-the-road freight transport produced 49,610,000 ( $CO2_{GER\_RFT}$ ) tons of carbon dioxide in 2010. In relation to Germany's road-freight traffic volume of 440,600,000,000 per ton per kilometer ( $GER\_RFT$ ), the industrial sector produces 112 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per ton per kilometer. The 3.2 to 5.7 million tons of cargo transported by truck over Hyperloop NG's 300 km ( $l_{annual}$ ) would produce 107,520 to 191,520 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> yearly ( $CO2_{subst.}$ ).<sup>9</sup>

$$CO2_{subst.} = \frac{CO2_{GER\_RFT}}{GER\_RFT} * l_{annual} (10)|(12)$$

Transporting cargo via solar-powered Hyperloop NG is not possible in North Germany as for the geographical location. Solar energy produced on top of the Hyperloop NG would not be able to empower the system itself (SMA 2015).

The average energy mix in Germany industry creates 542 g/kWh of  $CO_2$  (*CO2<sub>mix</sub>*) (Icha 2014). Transporting 3.2 to 5.7 million tons over 300 km via Hyperloop NG would create 26.56 to 42.49 tons of  $CO_2$  per hour.

 $\Delta CO2_{annual} = CO2_{mix} * P * opdays_{annual} * ophour_{day} - CO2_{subst.} (6) + (12) | (13)$ 

The total reduction in annual  $CO_2$  ( $\Delta CO2_{annual}$ ) would be 8,919 to 143,981 tons. This achievement qualifies as level one creation of shared value on Porter's index.

# 4.2.7 Separation effect and property efficiency

From 2030 to 2050, German road-freight traffic will rise yearly from 4.11% (Shell 2010) to 4.33% (Ickert et al. 2007) over best-case 6.19% to worst-case 8.78% yearly (Prokop and Stoller 2012).<sup>10</sup> Given delays from traffic jams, construction, and rush hour bottlenecks, enhancing road capacity is a major issue. There are three likely scenarios.

**a.)** Substitution: A decline in regular road travel offsets a rise in over-the-road freight transport. This is a short-term and temporary potential solution because society must pay additional taxes for major road damages that accrue a welfare loss (Kopper et al. 2013), as is already happening in Germany (Sieg et al. 2014).

**b.)** Efficiency: With technical development, especially through autonomous driving, road capacity in urban areas and on highways could be increased significantly (Campbell et al. 2010). Cost is the problematic issue under this scenario. Advancing vehicle technology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Calculated for the total German road-freight performance/21,300 km of supra-regional roads.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Best case in the sense of the limited traffic volume capacity.

requires investment capital, costs of which will raise the average price and open opportunities for less modern vehicles. Such is already happening with modern  $CO_2$ -efficient trucks. The only significant effect is that it increases the cabotage share.

**c.)** Construction: Adding road capacity occasions tremendous costs, increases the separation effect<sup>11</sup> by widening highways, and consumes land. To compare the capacity of Hyperloop with existing highway infrastructure, we calculated relative capacity. Relative to their width, highways have a capacity of 4,230 cars per 1.5 m and require 450,000 m<sup>2</sup> of land surface (*Forschungsgesellschaft für Staßen- und Verkehrswesen* 2008).

Figure 3 Scale comparison (Forschungsgesellschaft für Staßen- und Verkehrswesen 2008)

Figure 3 shows that Hyperloops add no separation to existing highways. They require  $1.5 \times 2.0$  m of land for each pylon, and pylons are spaced every 30 m, allowing people, animals, or pedestrians to cross. Hyperloop NG would require 30,000 m<sup>2</sup> (Werner 2014) and enhance road capacity by 3,600 to 7,200 cars per day by reducing truck traffic.

Hyperloop NG creates shared value by avoiding the separation effect, increasing traffic capacity, and freeing road traffic. These qualify as level one shared value creation on Porter's index.

### 4.2.8 Running maintenance costs

Hyperloop was conceived to close the economic inefficiencies of existing tube-based highspeed transportation concepts. Especially regarding its air cushion suspension, Hyperloop is expected to have negligible non-routine maintenance costs.

By supplanting truck traffic, Hyperloop reduces road damage. German highways suffer  $\in$ 7.5 billion in damage yearly ( $p_{dmg}$ ) (ADAC e.V. 2015), and Cebon (1993) estimates that one-third could be prevented by reducing truck traffic. Relative to the 453.6-billion-per ton per kilometer road-freight traffic volume in Germany in 2013 (GER\_RFT\_13), 1.65 euro cents of damage is caused per ton per kilometer.

$$p_{substdmg} = l_{annual} * d_{tubs} * \frac{p_{dmg}}{GER_RFT_13} (10)|(14)$$

Hyperloop NG would supplant 3.2 to 5.7 million tons of cargo  $(l_{annual})$  transported by truck  $(d_{tube})$ . That represents a potential savings of  $\in 15.89$  to  $\in 28.22$  million yearly in road damage  $(p_{substdmg})$ .

Curtailing road damage also would reduce repair-related traffic jams and raise average speed. Given the limited availability of data, however, we disregard the value created.

Reducing costs of road damage qualifies as level one creation of shared value on Porter's index.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Separation in this sense means additional property that is blocked through transportation infrastructure.

### 4.3 Monetary evaluation

Table 3 displays the monetized shared value for each of the eight factors discussed. Fundamental variables that cannot be monetized because of data uncertainties are indicated.

**Cargo transportation time**: We calculated the value of this factor using average speeds for Hyperloop and regular trucks along Hyperloop NG. We compared the time needed for both to transport cargo and calculated the percentage decrease in time as a change in the variable. Given insufficient data for the value of time in the logistics industry, we took a bridge-approach. We calculated the created value of time on the road by multiplying the number of hours Germans spend in traffic jams by estimated cost of time lost (Hoppe 2004). As an estimate result the Hyperloop NG would generate up to  $\in$ 401 million of shared value per year through the reduction of cargo transportation travel time.

Average travel speed: Measuring highway capacity in cars per hour is difficult, and the sole scholarly attempt to do so involves transforming a four-lane highway to a six-lane highway. Nonetheless, that study sets the monetary value of time saved through higher average speeds along Hyperloop NG at  $\in 0.55$  to  $\in 1.1$  million per year (Brilon 2004).

**Cargo transportation price**: At this early stage of development, even with price data available, it is hard to monetize actual benefits from the Hyperloop industry overall. Therefore, we exclude this factor in computing total shared value (Fraunhofer Institut 2013).

**Density of traffic**: We analyzed fuel savings occasioned by fewer traffic jams along Hyperloop NG's 300 km. We compared costs of additional fuel consumed per car and the addition of 150 to 300 cars per hour to the highway along its course. Potential fuel savings are  $\in 81,468,000$  to  $\in 162,930,000$  (Mohamed 2012).

Accident occurrence: By reducing the number of trucks on the road, Hyperloop NG would prevent 922 to 1,660 accidents yearly. Among these, 80 to 144 would have been fatal and cost  $\in$ 587,392 to  $\in$ 1,057,305 plus  $\in$ 23,432 to  $\in$ 42,178 in physical damage. Estimating 296 to 533 fewer serious injuries and 543 to 978 uninjured people, we calculated total savings in between  $\in$ 154 to  $\in$ 278 millions using comprehensive data for external costs (*Bundesanstalt für Straßenverkehrswesen 2014*).

 Table 3: Total shared value calculation<sup>12</sup>

**Reliability**: Calculating detailed value created through Hyperloop's safe operation requires additional data. Nevertheless, operational reliability raises operational safety, and we calculated that value in our discussion of speed. Therefore, the shared value factor of Hyperloop safety supports the shared value of cargo transportation speed (Musk 2013).

**Noise pollution**: The external costs to people affected by noise pollution are defined in the literature. With 214,000 to 380,000 fewer trucks yearly and average noise pollution of 65 db affecting 15,400 to 25,950 people, Hyperloop NG could reduce costs from noise pollution by  $\in$  9.8 to  $\in$  16.6 million yearly (Essen et al. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Rounded to thousands. EUR/USD = 1.05.

Air pollution: The external costs of air pollution are partially defined in the literature. Costs for methane and nitrous gas emissions are unavailable. We calculated yearly  $\in$ 73.000 to  $\in$ 130.000 of shared value created using the decreased rates figured in the analysis (Verhoef 1994).

**Climate effect**: Carbon dioxide emissions can be traded and therefore have a visible price. As Hyperloop is an impending project, we calculated value creation using the average price per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> forecast for 2020 and 2050 (Luckow et al. 2015). The Hyperloop NG would create in between  $\leq 0,23$  million to  $\leq 3,78$  million per year.

**Separation effect**: It is hard to evaluate changes in the variable for this factor, so we did not monetize it. However, it likely is positive because Hyperloop NG's separation effect is minimal (Bickel and Friedrich 1995). This statistical imprecision may serve as a buffer against other computational uncertainties.

**Road damages:** We calculated the value of precluded road damages using their total cost of  $\in$ 7.5 billion in Germany. For total transportation performance, we calculated 1.65 euro cents per ton per kilometer. Multiplied by the substituted road freight performance by Hyperloop, the monetary evaluation of road damages was realized (*Statistisches Bundesamt* 2015). Concluding the Hyperloop NG would generate  $\in$ 15,9 to  $\in$ 28,2 million of shared value per year.

# **5.** Conclusion

Transporting cargo via Hyperloop benefits society through faster travel speeds, reduced transportation costs, greater safety, less noise and air pollution, fewer climate effects, smaller carbon footprints, minimal separation effects, enhanced property efficiency, and lower maintenance costs. This study has calculated the shared value created by constructing a hypothetical Hyperloop in Northern Germany, rated its benefits using Porter's shared value index, and monetized that shared value for eight factors individually and in total. In doing so, it is the first study to suggest how benefits of Hyperloop technology can be assessed concretely. Although our findings pertain to Germany, our method provides a way to assess Hyperloop's contributions in industrialized nations generally.

Our results show that Hyperloop creates significant shared value. In the least case, Hyperloop NG's higher speeds create value worth  $\in$ 400 million yearly. By reducing the number of accidents, it creates  $\in$ 150 million yearly in shared value. In total, the eight shared value factors examined here represent  $\in$ 660 to  $\in$ 900 million of shared value likely to be created yearly by constructing Hyperloop NG. Given Hyperloop NG's calculated performance and the rule of three governing pollutants, one-third of an investment of  $\in$ 2.7 billion would be recouped in shared value creation.

This study is exploratory and presented as an impetus for future research, which needs to confirm the robustness of our estimates and their variability. In particular, future research can compute the benefits of reducing methane and nitrous gas emissions, for which German data were unavailable, and better assess Hyperloop's energy requirements per tube distance as its technology advances. Equally important, future research can adjust our estimates of operating costs as Hyperloop technology—particularly use of magnetic suspension systems—advances.

Hyperloop addresses issues significant to modern transportation. Although estimates and implications presented here will change with time and national circumstances, shared value potential should be incorporated into assessments of Hyperloop's development. As evidenced by the shared value documented here, Hyperloop technology promises to alter how the industrialized world transports cargo.

# 6. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dirk Ahlborn for constructive talks and in-depth insights. My thanks also go to Prof. Dr. Niemeyer and Prof. Dr. Beckmann for their motivation and academic assistance. It is only with the help of the mentioned people that this manuscript is what it is.

# 7. References

- ADAC e.V.: Immer weniger Straßen werden repariert. https://www.adac.de/infotestrat/adacim-einsatz/motorwelt/strassenschaeden.aspx (2015). Accessed 23 June 2015
- Bickel, P., Friedrich, R.: Was kostet uns die Mobilität? Springer, Berlin (1995)
- Brilon, W.: Zufallscharakter der Kapazität von Autobahnen und praktische Konsequenzen. In: Deutscher Straßen- und Verkehrskongress, Berlin, Oct 2004, pp. 1–35

Bruns, A.S., Zelewski, S., Klumpp, M.: Trends in der Güterverkehrslogistik. 1–27 (2010)

- Bundesanstalt für Straßenverkehrswesen: Volkswirtschaftliche Kosten von Straßenverkehrsunfällen in Deutschland. Bundesanstalt für Straßenwesen, Bergisch Gladbach (2014)
- Bundesministerium für Verkehr: Richtlinie für den Lärmschutz an Straßen. BfV, Köln (1990) Bundesregierung: Verkehrssituation auf Deutschlands Straßen.
  - https://www.bundesregierung.de/Content/DE/Magazine/MagazinInfrastrukturNeueLae nder/014/s1-verkehrssituation-auf-deutschlands-strassen.html (2015). Accessed 17 August 2015
- Campbell, M., Egerstedt, M., How, J.P., Murray, R.M.: Autonomous driving in urban environments: approaches, lessons and challenges. Philos T R Soc A 368(1928), 4649–4672 (2010). doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0110
- Cebon, D.: Interaction between heavy vehicles and roads. SAE International (1993). doi:10.4271/930001
- Citron, R.: Hyperloop glides toward reality in California. https://www.navigantresearch.com/blog/hyperloop-glides-toward-reality-in-california (2015). Accessed 28 October 2015
- DeMorro, C.: 4,000 MPH Hyperloop to start testing this year. http://gas2.org/2013/07/12/video-4000-mph-hyperloop-to-start-testing-this-year/ (2015). Accessed 06 March 2015
- Deputation für Umwelt Bau Verkehr Stadtentwicklung und Energie Bremen: Aktionsplan zur Lärmminderung nach der Umgebungslärmrichtlinie. Bremer Stadtverwaltung, Bremen (2014)
- Essen, H.v., Schroten, A., Otten, M., Sutter, D., Schreyer, C., Zandonella, R., Maibach, M., Doll, C.: External Costs of Transport in Europe. CE Delft, Infras, Fraunhofer ISI Delft (2011)
- Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., Rothengatter, W.: Megaprojects and Risk. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)
- Forschungsgesellschaft für Staßen- und Verkehrswesen: Richtlinien für die Anlage von Autobahnen RAA. FGSV-Verlag, Köln (2008)
- Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen und Verkehrswesen: Richtlinien für Wirtschaftlichkeitsuntersuchungen an Straßen. FGSV Verlag, Köln (2015)
- Fraunhofer Institut: Infoblatt 5/5: Bahngüterverkehr. http://www.isi-projekt.de/wissprojektwAssets/docs/ntm-downloads/infoblaetter/fhs\_Infoblatt\_5.pdf (2013). Accessed 21 November 2015
- Holtermann, L., Jahn, M., Otto, A.H., Wedemeier, J.: Metropolregion Hamburg 2020: Verkehrsinfrastruktur und ihre Auslastung. http://www.hwwi.org/fileadmin/hwwi/Publikationen/Partnerpublikationen/HSH/15041
   5\_Verkehr\_Studie\_web.pdf (2015). Accessed 03 September 2015
- Hoppe, H.: Externe Kosten des Verkehrs. BUND Hessen, (2004)
- Hütter, A.: Verkehr auf einen Blick. Statistisches Bundesamt, Wiesbaden (2013)
- Hyperloop Transportation Technologies: Crowdstorm Documentation. 1–76 (2014)

- Icha, P.: Entwicklung der spezifischen Kohlendioxid-Emissionen des deutschen Strommix in den Jahren 1990 bis 2013. Für Mensch & Umwelt. Umweltbundesamt, Dessau-Rosslau (2014)
- Ickert, L., Matthes, U., Rommerskirchen, S., Weyand, E., Schlesinger, M., Limbers, J.: Abschätzung der langfristigen Entwicklung des Güterverkehrs in Deutschland bis 2050. Bundesministerium für Verkehr, Bau und Stadtentwicklung, Basel (2007)
- Jufer, M., Perret, F.-L., Descoeudres, F., Trottet, Y.: Swissmetro, an Efficient Intercity Subway System. Structural Engineering International 3(3), 184–189 (1993). doi:10.2749/101686693780607921
- Kopper, C., Hartwig, K.-H., Rothengatter, W., Gawel, E., Eisenkopf, A.: Die Verkehrsinfrastruktur in Deutschland: marode und unterfinanziert. Wirtschaftsdienst 93(10), 659–677 (2013). doi:10.1007/s10273-013-1582-5
- Krapf, H.: Verkehrslenkung durch Abgaben. LIT, Münster (2001)
- Landesbetrieb Straßen Brücken und Gewässer: Lärmschutz an Hamburger Autobahnen. Hamburger Stadtverwaltung, Hamburg (2009)
- Luckow, P., Stanton, E.A., Fields, S., Biewald, B., Jackson, S., Fisher, J., Wilson, R.: 2015 Carbon Dioxide Price Report. Synapse Energy Economics Inc., Massachusetts (2015)
- Martin, S.J.: Creating shared economic value for Arizonans by proliferating solar through the Hyperloop project. http://repository.asu.edu/items/23296 (2014). Accessed 14 August 2015
- Mohamed, S.: The Economic Costs of Gridlock. Centre for Economics and Business Research Ltd, London, (2012)
- Musk, E.: Hyperloop Alpha. http://www.spacex.com/sites/spacex/files/hyperloop\_alpha.pdf (2013). Accessed 07 June 2015
- Osberghaus, D., Schwirplies, C., Ziegler, A.: Klimawandel in Deutschland: Risikowahrnehmung, Wissensstand und Anpassung in privaten Haushalten. Rheinisch-Westfälisches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung e.V., Essen (2013)
- Oster, D., Kumada, M., Zhang, Y.: Evacuated tube transport technologies (ET3)tm: a maximum value global transportation network for passengers and cargo. Journal of Modern Transportation 19(1), 42–50 (2011). doi:10.1007/BF03325739
- Porter, M.E., Kramer, M.R.: The big idea: Creating shared value. Harvard Bus Rev (2011a)
- Porter, M.E., Kramer, M.R.: Creating shared value. Harvard Bus Rev (2011b)
- Prokop, G., Stoller, A.: Der Güterverkehr von morgen. Heinrich Böll Stiftung Schriften zur Ökologie 30, 1–118 (2012)
- Pumberger, A., Fessl, T., Authried, N.: Lkw-Geschwindigkeitsverhalten auf Autobahnen. Verkehr und Infrastruktur, 1–127 (2015)
- Shell: Shell Lkw-Studie. Shell Deutschland Oil GmbH, Hamburg (2010)
- Sieg, G., Wieland, B., Knieps, G., Puls, T., Beckmann, K.J., Bernecker, T., Böger, T.: Pkw-Maut, Sonderabgabe oder Sonderfonds: Sinnvolle Instrumente zur Finanzierung der Verkehrsinfrastruktur? ifo Schnelldienst 67 (2014)
- SMA: Solarstromrechner Ergebnis. http://www.sma.de/home-systems/solarrechner.html (2015). Accessed 03 May 2015
- Sovani, S.: Bringing the Hyperloop one step closer to reality through simulation.

http://www.ansys-blog.com/20130925hyperloop (2013). Accessed 16 July 2015 Statista: Transportleistung im deutschen Straßengüterverkehr. Statista, Hamburg (2015) Statistisches Bundesamt: Verkehr.

https://www.destatis.de/DE/Publikationen/Thematisch/TransportVerkehr/Querschnitt/

VerkehrAktuellPDF\_2080110.pdf (2013). Accessed 09 September 2015

Statistisches Bundesamt: Verkehr. Fachserie Statistisches Bundesamt, Wiesbaden (2014a) Statistisches Bundesamt: Verkehrsunfälle. Fachserie Verkehr. Statistisches Bundesamt,

Wiesbaden (2014b)

Statistisches Bundesamt: Autobahnnetz in Deutschland in 2014. Statistisches Bundesamt, Wiesbaden (2015)

- Thomas, J.: Umweltökonomische Analyse des Güterverkehrs 1995 bis 2010. Wirtschaft und Statistik, Statistisches Bundesamt, 1–13 (2012)
- Treisman, A.M., Gelade, G.: A feature-integration theory of attention. Cognitive psychology 12(1), 97–136 (1980). doi:10.1016/0010-0285(80)90005-5

Upbin, B.: Hyperloop Is Real: Meet the Startups Selling Supersonic Travel. In: Forbes. (2015)

- Verhoef, E.: External effects and social costs of road transport. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice 28(4), 273–287 (1994). doi:10.1016/0965-8564(94)90003-5
- Werner, M.: Hypercargo. Ein deutscher Hyperloop nach Transrapid und Co? GRIN Verlag, München (2014)
- Yarrow, J.: Elon Musk has plans for a new, magical form of transportation called the Hyperloop; (TSLA).

http://www.seattlepi.com/technology/businessinsider/article/Elon-Musk-Has-Plans-For-A-New-Magical-Form-Of-4561606.php (2013). Accessed 01 June 2015

Figure 1



# Figure 2



# Figure 3



# Table 1

	Weight		
Capsule Component (Alpha)	( <b>kg</b> ) <sup>-</sup>	Payload Component (Adjusted)	Weight (kg)
Capsule Sturcture and Doors	3,500	Capsule Sturcture and Doors	3,100
Interior & Seats	2,700	Propulsion System	800
Propulsion System	800	Suspension & Air Bearings	1,300
Suspension & Air Bearings	1,300	Batteries, Motor & Coolant	5,500
Batteries, Motor & Coolant	5,500	Air Compressor	2,500
Air Compressor	2,500	Emergency Braking	800
Emergency Braking	800	Payload	12,000
Passengers & Luggage	1,400		
Car & Cargo	7,500		
Total	26,000	Total	26,000

Course section Length (km)	Acceler	ation (m/s^2) Max spe	ed (km/h) Acceler:	ation time (s) Acceleratio	n distance (km) Tra	vel time (s) Averag	e speed (kmh)
Kiel - Hamburg	107	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	384.83	1000.97
Kiel - Bremen	224	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	730.07	1104.55
Kiel - Bremerhaven	285	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	910.07	1127.38
Lübeck - Hamburg	76	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	293.35	932.67
Lübeck - Bremen	177	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	591.39	1077.47
Lübeck - Bremerhave	246	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	794.99	1113.97
Hamburg - Bremen	110	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	393.68	1005.89
Hamburg - Bremerha	165	4.905	1220	69.09	11.71	555.98	1068.39
					Tot	al Average:	1053.91

# Table 2

891,708.00	663.344.000.00 €	Total Shared Value			
N	15,890,000 €	evaluation	Monetary	Road damage occurrence	<b>Running costs for maintenance</b>
support	General	l support	General	Road capacity	Seperation effect
	234,000 €	-143981	-8919	CO2 emission	Climate effect
	61,000 €	-27571	-15527	Dust emission	
	1,000 €	-3377	-1902	Sulfur dioxide emission	
	10,000 €	-47438	-26715	Non-methane volatile organic component emission	
support	General	-1057	-596	Nitrous gases emission	
	1,000 €	-7405	-4170	Nitrous oxide emission	
A	N/	-1160	-654	Methane emission	Air pollution
16	9,800,000€	-25950	-15400	Noise emission	Noise pollution
A	N/	l support	General	Reliability	
278,	154,434,000 €	-1660	-922	Accident occurrence	Safety
162,	81,468,000 €	fuel costs per car	-62 Euro annual	Density of traffic	
support	General	2 Euro-cent	6 Euro-cent	n Cargo transportation price	<b>Operating costs for transportation</b>
1,	550,000 €	300 cars p.h.	150 cars p.h.	Average travel speed	
,000€	400,895	50%	-13	Cargo transportation travel time	Travel speed
Best Case	Worst Case	Best Case	Worst Case		
evaluation	Monetary (	amental variable	Change in funda	Fundamental variable	Shared Value Factor

# Table 3

2016

- 165 Werner, Max; Vianelli, A.; Bodek, Mariusz C.: Monitoring Venture Capital Investments through Internal Control Prediction Markets, May 2016
- 164 Jahn, Vera; Steinhardt, Max Friedrich: Innovation and Immigration Insights from a Placement Policy, February 2016
- 163 Beckmann, Klaus; Gattke, Susan; Lechner, Anja; Reimer, Lennart: Lineare dynamische Konfliktmodelle: Ein systematischer Überblick, Februar 2016
- 162 Beckmann, Klaus; Gattke, Susan; Lechner, Anja; Reimer, Lennart: A critique of the Richardson equations, January 2016

## 2015

- 161 Dewenter, Ralf; Schwalbe, Ulrich: Preisgarantien im Kraftstoffmarkt, Oktober 2015
- 160 Afflatet, Nicolas: Fiscal Policy in a Debt Crisis A Model, June 2015
- 159 Beckmann, Klaus; Gattke, Susan; Reimer, Lennart: The Boulding-Richardson Model Revisited, June 2015
- 158 Jahn, Vera: The Importance of Mittelstand Firms for Regional Apprenticeship Activity Lessons for Policy, April 2015
- 157 Im Winkel, Niklas: Rechts? Links? Liberal? Egal? Gründe für die Entstehung verzerrter Medieninhalte und Methoden zur Messung des Bias, February 2015
- 156 Afflatet, Nicolas: Public Debt and Borrowing. Are Governments Disciplined by Financial Markets?, January 2015

#### 2014

- 155 Berlemann, Michael; Christmann, Robin: Determintants of In-Court Settlements. Empirical Evidence from a German Trial Court, December 2014
- 154 Berlemann, Michael; Christmann, Robin: Do Judges React to the Probability of Appellate Review? Empirical Evidence from Trial Court Procedures, December 2014
- 153 Bennöhr, Lars; Oestmann, Marco: Determinants of house price dynamics. What can we learn from search engine data?, October 2014
- 152 Dewenter, Ralf; Giessing, Leonie: The Effects of Elite Sports on Later Job Success, October 2014
- 151 Dewenter, Ralf; Rösch, Jürgen; Terschüren, Anna: Abgrenzung zweiseitiger Märkte am Beispiel von Internetsuchmaschinen, October 2014
- 150 Berlemann, Michael; Jahn, Vera: Governance, firm size and innovative capacity: regional empirical evidence for Germany, August 2014
- 149 Dewenter, Ralf; Rösch, Jürgen: Net neutrality and the incentives (not) to exclude competitors, July 2014
- 148 Kundt, Thorben: Applying "Benford's" law to the Crosswise Model: Findings from an online survey on tax evasion, July 2014
- 147 Beckmann, Klaus; Reimer, Lennart: Dynamiken in asymmetrischen Konflikten: eine Simulationsstudie, July 2014
- 146 Herzer, Dierk: Unions and income inequality: a heterogeneous panel cointegration and causality analysis, July 2014
- 145 Beckmann, Klaus; Franz, Nele; Schneider, Andrea: Intensive Labour Supply: a Menu Choice Revealed Preference Approach for German Females and Males, June 2014
- 144 Beckmann, Klaus; Franz, Nele; Schneider, Andrea: On optimal tax differences between heterogenous groups, May 2014
- 143 Berlemann, Michael; Enkelmann, Sören: Institutions, experiences and inflation aversion, May 2014
- 142 Beckmann, Klaus; Gattke, Susan: Tax evasion and cognitive dissonance, April 2014
- 141 Herzer, Dierk; Nunnenkamp, Peter: Income inequality and health evidence from developed and developing countries, April 2014
- 140 Dewenter, Ralf; Heimeshoff, Ulrich: Do Expert Reviews Really Drive Demand? Evidence from a German Car Magazine, March 2014

