

Themenliste VWL Seminar
"Europäische Integration: Herausforderungen und Perspektiven" (5. Trim.)
("European Integration: Challenges and Perspectives")
WT 2023, BSc, ISA (Blockseminar)
Module: ISA 00576

1. EU Institutionen: Struktur und Machtverteilung
(EU institutions: Structure and power distribution)

- Cardinale, I. and Landesmann, M. (2016). Exploring Sectoral Conflicts of Interest in the Eurozone: A Structural Political Economy Approach. *Wiiw Essays and Occasional Papers* 3.
<https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/untitled-71935-ea.pdf>
- Carstensen, M. B., & Schmidt, V. A. (2017). Power and changing modes of governance in the euro crisis. *Governance*, 31(4), 609–624.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12318>
- Genovese, F., & Schneider, G. (2020). Smoke with fire: Financial crises and the demand for parliamentary oversight in the European Union. *The Review of International Organizations*, 15(3), 633–665.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-020-09383-0>
- Handler, H. (2021). *Krisengeprüftes Europa: Wie wir die Solidarität in der EU stärken können (German Edition)* (1. Aufl. 2021). Springer Gabler.
- Weingast, B. R. (1995). The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 11(1), 1–31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/765068>
- Vaubel, R. (1994). The public choice analysis of European integration: A survey. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 10(1), 227–249.
<https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/poleco/v10y1994i1p227-249.html>

2. Zufriedenheit mit der Demokratie und die Rolle des Populismus in der EU
(Satisfaction with Democracy, and the role of populism in the EU)

- Algan, Y., Guriev, S., Papaioannou, E., & Passari, E. (2017). The European Trust Crisis and the Rise of Populism. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 309–382.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/90019460>
- Dluhosch, B., Horgos, D. & Zimmermann, W. (2016). EU enlargement and satisfaction with democracy: a peculiar case of immiserizing growth. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 27(3), 273–298.
- Foster, C., & Frieden, J. (2021). Economic determinants of public support for European integration, 1995–2018. *European Union Politics*, 22(2), 266–292.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116521994181>

- Gold, R. (2021). Wie kann Wirtschaftspolitik zur Eindämmung des Populismus beitragen? *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(7), 500–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2955-9>
- Kayran, E. N. (Ed.). (n.d.). *Trust Thy Institutions: Importance of Trust in Political Institutions in Mediating Public Attitudes Towards European Integration and Immigration*. 3rd ESS Conference, Geneva. https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/docs/about/conference/KAYRAN_Trust-Thy-Institutions.pdf

3. Deutschlands Rolle in der EU

(Germany's role in the EU)

- Aggestam, L., & Hyde-Price, A. (2019). Learning to Lead? Germany and the Leadership Paradox in EU Foreign Policy. *German Politics*, 29(1), 8–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644008.2019.1601177>
- Bottger, K., & Jopp, M. (2021). *Handbuch Zur Deutschen Europapolitik: Mit Einem Vorwort Von Michael Roth, Staatsminister Fur Europa (German Edition)* (Updated, Revised). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft Mbh & Co.
- Bulmer, S. (2019). Deutschland in der EU: Europas unverzichtbarer Hegemon? *Integration*, 42(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0720-5120-2019-1-3>
- Kindleberger, C. P. (1981). Dominance and Leadership in the International Economy: Exploitation, Public Goods, and Free Rides. *International Studies Quarterly*, 25(2), 242. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600355>
- Müller-Brandeck-Bocquet, G. (2021). Deutsche Europapolitik in der Ära Angela Merkel 2005–2021: Enge Gestaltungsspielräume in Krisenzeiten. *Deutsche Europapolitik*, 247–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-35340-7_5
- Schild, J. (2019). The myth of German hegemony in the euro area revisited. *West European Politics*, 43(5), 1072–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2019.1625013>
- Schweiger, C. (2022). Chapter 14 Germany's Role in the EU-27 Leadership Constellation after Brexit. *Twilight of the Merkel Era*, 288–304. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781789202663-016>
- Yordanova, N., Angelova, M., Lehrer, R., Osnabrügge, M., & Renes, S. (2020). Swaying citizen support for EU membership: Evidence from a survey experiment of German voters. *European Union Politics*, 21(3), 429–450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116520923735>

4. Brexit: Der Desintegrationsprozess in der EU

(Brexit: The disintegration process in the EU)

- Bulmer, S., & Quaglia, L. (2018). The politics and economics of Brexit. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25(8), 1089–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2018.1467957>
- Glencross, A. (2019). 'Love Europe, hate the EU': A genealogical inquiry into populists' spatio-cultural critique of the European Union and its consequences. *European Journal of International Relations*, 26(1), 116–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066119850242>
- Handler, H. (2021). Die EU auf dem Weg zur Konsolidierung? *Krisengeprüftes Europa*, 215–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-35240-0_7

- Hobolt, S., Leeper, T., & Tilley, J. (2021). Divided by the Vote: Affective Polarization in the Wake of the Brexit Referendum. *British Journal of Political Science*, 51(4), 1476–1493. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007123420000125>
- Kóczy, L. (2016). How Brexit would affect the EU power distribution. *Voxeu.org* <https://voxeu.org/article/brexit-and-eu-power-distribution>

5. COVID-19 und die Auswirkung auf die EU (COVID-19 and the Impact on the EU)

- Celi, G., Guarascio, D., & Simonazzi, A. (2020). A fragile and divided European Union meets Covid-19: further disintegration or ‘Hamiltonian moment’? *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47(3), 411–424. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-020-00165-8>
- Cernat, L. (ed.) (2020). Trade policy reflections beyond the COVID19 outbreak. *European Commission, Chief Economist Note*, 2, 1-28. https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2020/july/tradoc_158859.pdf
- Dullien, S., Herzog-Stein, A., Rietzler, K., Tober, S. & Watzka, S. (2021). Die Erholung nachhaltig gestalten: Wirtschaftspolitische Herausforderungen 2021. *IMK Report* 164. https://www.boeckler.de/pdf/p_imk_report_164_2021.pdf
- Issing, O. (2020). The COVID-19 crisis: A Hamilton moment for the European Union? *International Finance*, 23(2), 340–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infi.12377>
- Landesmann, M. A. (2020). Covid-19 crisis: centrifugal vs. centripetal forces in the EU—a political-economic analysis. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47(3), 439–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-020-00171-w>
- Thöne, M. (2021). Next Generation EU—der erste von vielen Schritten ist getan. *Ifo Schnelldienst*, 74(2), 16-19, (https://www.fifo-koeln.org/images/aktuelles/2021/sd-2021-02-next-generation-eu_thoene.pdf; last accessed October 11, 2022).

6. Die Handelspolitik und die Globale Strategie der EU (The EU’s Trade Policy and Global Strategy)

- Barbé, E., & Morillas, P. (2019). The EU global strategy: the dynamics of a more politicized and politically integrated foreign policy. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 32(6), 753–770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09557571.2019.1588227>
- Dür, A., Eckhardt, J., & Poletti, A. (2019). Global value chains, the anti-globalization backlash, and EU trade policy: a research agenda. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(6), 944–956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1619802>
- Leblond, P., & Viju-Miljusevic, C. (2019). EU trade policy in the twenty-first century: change, continuity and challenges. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26(12), 1836–1846. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1678059>
- Lippert, B., Ondarza, N., & Perthes, V. (Eds.) (2019). European strategic autonomy: actors, issues, conflicts of interests. *SWP Research Paper*, 4/2019. https://www.swp-berlin.org/fileadmin/contents/products/research_papers/2019RP04_lpt_orz_prt_web.pdf

- Smith, K. E. (2017). A European Union global strategy for a changing world? *International Politics*, 54(4), 503–518. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-017-0041-0>

7. Die EU und die USA: Chancen und Herausforderungen (EU and the U.S.: Opportunities and challenges)

- Bertelsmann Foundation, The German Marshall Fund of the United States, Institut Montaigne (2020). State of the Transatlantic Relationship. https://www.gmfus.org/sites/default/files/TT20_Final.pdf
- Braml, M. & Felbermayr, G. (2021). Die USA sind mit großem Abstand Europas wichtigster Wirtschaftspartner. *Kiel Policy Brief*, No. 150, <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/232524/1/1753149223.pdf>
- Burgoon, B., Oliver, T., & Trubowitz, P. (2017). Globalization, domestic politics, and transatlantic relations. *International Politics*, 54(4), 420–433. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-017-0040-1>
- Kunz, B. (2020). The Evolving Transatlantic Link: What European Response? Disentangling the European Security Debate. *Alliances and Power Politics in the Trump Era*, 33–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37258-3_3
- Schlegel, C. (2020). Tariffs, NATO and Nord Stream: Is Trump the Problem to a Transatlantic Free Trade Agreement? *Zeitschrift Für Außen- Und Sicherheitspolitik*, 13(1), 65–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12399-020-00804-x>
- Schneider-Petsinger, M. (2019). US-EU Trade Relations in the Trump Era. Which Way Forward?. *Chatham House, Research Paper*. <https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/publications/research/2019-03-08US-EUTradeRelations2.pdf>.

8. Die EU und China (The EU und China)

- Cameron, F. (2019). The European Union's New Rival -China. *GIGA Focus Asien*, 7, 1-11.
- Flach, L. (2021). Die EU braucht eine klare USA-China-Strategie. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(4), 238–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2881-x>
- García-Herrero, A. et al. (2017). EU-China Economic Relations to 2025 building a Common Future. *Chatham House* <https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/publications/research/2017-09-13-eu-china-economic-relations-2025-garcia-herrero-kwok-liu-summers-zhang-final.pdf>
- Grimmel, A., & Eszterhai, V. (2020). The Belt and Road Initiative and the Development of China's Economic Statecraft: European Attitudes and Responses. *International Studies*, 57(3), 223–239. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881720925223>
- Le Corre, P. (2018). China's Rise as a Geoeconomic Influencer: Four European Case Studies. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Working Paper*.
- Sarsenbayev, M., & Véron, N. (2020). European versus American Perspectives on the Belt and Road Initiative. *China & World Economy*, 28(2), 84–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cwe.12322>

9. Die EU und Russland (The EU and Russia)

- Bělin, M., & Hanousek, J. (2021). Which sanctions matter? analysis of the EU/Russian sanctions of 2014. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 49(1), 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2020.07.001>
- Casier, T. (2020). Not on speaking terms, but business as usual: the ambiguous coexistence of conflict and cooperation in EU–Russia relations. *East European Politics*, 36(4), 529–543. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21599165.2020.1756784>
- Siddi, M. (2018). The Role of Power in EU–Russia Energy Relations: The Interplay between Markets and Geopolitics. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 70(10), 1552–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2018.1536925>
- Schmidt-Felzmann, A. (2019). Between Geopolitics and Market Rules: The EU’s Energy Interdependence with Russia. In Raik, K., & Rácz, A. (Eds.). *Post-Crimea Shift in EU-Russia Relations: From Fostering Interdependence to Managing Vulnerabilities*. International Centre for Defence and Security.
- Stüwe, Robert (2021). Unpacking the German Debate on Nord Stream 2. *Russian Analytical Digest*, 267(12), 1-16. <https://css.ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/gess/cis/center-for-securities-studies/pdfs/RAD267.pdf>

10. Die EU und Lateinamerika (The EU and Latin America)

- ECLAC (2018). The European Union and Latin America and the Caribbean. Convergent and sustainable strategies in the current global environment. https://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/43741/9/S1800902_en.pdf
- Maihold, G. (2021). Vom Nebeneinander zu neuem Miteinander: die deutsche Lateinamerika-Politik braucht neue Ansatzpunkte. *SWP-Aktuell*, 58/2021. <https://doi.org/10.18449/2021A58>
- Serbin, A., and Serbin Pont, A. (Ed.) (2018). Why should the European Union have any relevance for Latin America and the Caribbean?. *EU-LAC*. <https://eulacfoundation.org/en/system/files/why-should-eu-relevance-lac-en-web.pdf>
- Gratius, S. (2018). Brazil and the European Union: from liberal inter-regionalism to realist bilateralism. *Revista Brasileira De Política Internacional*, 61(1). <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7329201800103>
- Timini, J.; and Viani, F. (2020). The EU-Mercosur Free Trade Agreement: Main Features and Economic Impact. *Analytical Articles, Economic Bulletin, Banco de España*, 1/2020. <https://repositorio.bde.es/bitstream/123456789/11802/1/be2001-art8e.pdf>

11. Die Zentralisierungstendenz in der Europäischen Integration (Centralization Tendencies in European Integration)

- Dunleavy, P., & Kirchgässner, G. (2000). Explaining the centralization of the European Union: a public choice analysis. In: Moser, P., Schneider, G., Kirchgässner, G. (eds) *Decision Rules in the European Union*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-62792-9_7

- Salmon, P. (2002). Accounting for centralization in the European Union: Niskanen, Monnet or Thatcher? *Laboratoire d'analyse et de techniques économiques (LATEC)*. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01526519/>
- Schmid, P.A. (2021), Binnenmarkt, Steuerharmonisierung und Dezentralisierung. In: Bergbauer H., Mann G. (eds) *Neugestaltung der Staatenwelt im 21. Jahrhundert*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30854-4_17
- Vaubel, R. (1992). Die politische Ökonomie der wirtschaftspolitischen Zentralisierung in der Europäischen Gemeinschaft. *Jahrbuch für Neue Politische Ökonomie*, 11, 30-65.

12. Mehr-Ebenen Systeme: Modelle für Europa?

(On Multi-level Approaches to EU Integration)

- Weingast, B. R. (1995). The economic role of political institutions: Market-preserving federalism and economic development. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 11(1), 1-31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/765068>
- Oates, W. E. (1999). An essay on fiscal federalism. *Journal of economic literature*, 37(3), 1120-1149. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2564874>
- Scharpf, F. W. (1988). The joint-decision trap: lessons from German federalism and European integration. *Public administration*, 66(3), 239-278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.1988.tb00694.x>
- Spolaore, E. (2015). The political economy of European integration (No. w21250). *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Feld, L. P. (2014). James Buchanan's theory of federalism: From fiscal equity to the ideal political order. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 25(3), 231-252.

13. Europäische Integration: Die verfassungsökonomische Sicht

(A Constitutional Political Economy Approach to EU Integration)

- Feld, L. P. (2005). The European constitution project from the perspective of constitutional political economy. *Public Choice*, 122(3-4), 417-448. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30026565>
- Brennan, G., & Kliemt, H. (1990). Logo logic. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 1(1), 125-127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02393036>
- Knoll, B., & Koenig, A. (2011). Leviathan Europa – Stärkung der Nationalstaaten und der EU durch konstitutionelle Schranken? *Zeitschrift Für Wirtschaftspolitik*, 60(2), 127-145. <https://doi.org/10.1515/zfwp-2011-0202>
- Kurrild-Klitgaard, P., & Berggren, N. (2004). Economic Consequences of Constitutions: A Theory and Survey. *Journal Des Economistes Et Des Etudes Humaines*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.2202/1145-6396.1114>

14. Fiskalpolitische Regelbindung: Lehren für Europa

(Rules-Based Fiscal Policies: Lessons for Europe)

- Begg, I. (2017). Fiscal and Other Rules in EU Economic Governance: Helpful, Largely Irrelevant or Unenforceable? *National Institute Economic Review*, 239, R3-R13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002795011723900110>

- Buchanan, J. M., & Lee, D. R. (1994). ON A FISCAL CONSTITUTION FOR THE EUROPEAN UNION. *Journal Des Économistes Et Des Études Humaines*, 5(2–3). <https://doi.org/10.1515/jeeh-1994-2-301>
- Beetsma, R., Thygesen, N., Cugnasca, A., Orseau, E., Eliafotou, P., Santacroce, S. & Debrun, X. (2019). Beyond fiscal rules: How domestic fiscal frameworks can contribute to sound fiscal policy. <https://voxeu.org/article/how-domestic-fiscal-frameworks-can-contribute-sound-fiscal-policy>
- Guttenberg, L., Hemker, J., & Tordoir, S. (2021). Alles wird anders — Wie die Pandemie die EU-Finanzarchitektur verändert. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 101(2), 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2848-y>
- Merrifield, J.; Poulson, B. (2016). Swedish and Swiss Fiscal-Rule Outcomes Contain Key Lessons for the United States. *The Independent Review*, 21(2), 2151-274. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43999694>

15. Die Energiekrise in der EU (The energy crisis in the EU)

- Holtemöller, O., Kooths, S., Schmidt, T., & Wollmershäuser, T. (2022). Gemeinschaftsdiagnose: Energiekrise, Inflation, Rezession und Wohlstandsverlust. *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 102(10), 761–765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10273-022-3291-4>
- Coyle, E. D., & Simmons, R. A. (2014). *Understanding the global energy crisis*. Purdue University Press.
- McWilliams, B., G. Sgaravatti, S. Tagliapietra & Zachmann, G. (2022). A grand bargain to steer through the European Union’s energy crisis. *Policy Contribution* 14/2022, Bruegel. http://aei.pitt.edu/103821/1/PC_14_2022_2.pdf
- Siddi, M. (2018). The Role of Power in EU–Russia Energy Relations: The Interplay between Markets and Geopolitics. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 70(10), 1552–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2018.1536925>
- Schmidt-Felzmann, A. (2019). Between Geopolitics and Market Rules: The EU’s Energy Interdependence with Russia. In Raik, K., & Rácz, A. (eds.). *Post-Crimea Shift in EU-Russia Relations: From Fostering Interdependence to Managing Vulnerabilities*. International Centre for Defence and Security.

Ab sofort (bis zur zweiten Sitzung des Seminars) kann ein Thema zur Bearbeitung übernommen werden. Anmeldung und Themenvergabe sind per Email möglich (ana.soliz@hsu-hh.de).

Die Vergabe der Themen erfolgt nach dem Windhundverfahren nach der Reihenfolge des Eingangs der Emails und Bestätigung. Die Anmeldung ist verbindlich und wird bei Nicht-Bearbeitung mit der Note 5.0 bewertet.

Nähere Informationen (Termin/e, Formalia und Abgabe der Seminararbeiten etc.) folgen nach der Anmeldung.