

Themenliste VWL Seminar
"Europäische Integration: Herausforderungen und Perspektiven" (5. Trim.)
("European Integration: Challenges and Perspectives")
WT 2022, BSc, ISA (3 TWS)
Module: ISA 00576

1. EU Institutionen: Struktur und Machtverteilung
(EU institutions: Structure and power distribution)

- Cardinale, I. and Landesmann, M. (2016). Exploring Sectoral Conflicts of Interest in the Eurozone: A Structural Political Economy Approach. *Wiiw Essays and Occasional Papers* 3, (<https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/untitled-71935-ea.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Cartensen, M.B.; Schmidt V.A. (2018). Power and changing modes of governance in the euro crisis. *Governance* (31): 609-624, (<https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12318>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Genovese, Federica; Schneider, Gerald (2020). Smoke with fire: Financial crises and the demand for parliamentary oversight in the European Union. *Rev Int Organ* 15: 633-665, (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-020-09383-0>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Handler, H. (2021), Krisengeprüftes Europa. Wie wir die Solidarität in der EU stärken können. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden, (<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-3-658-35240-0.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Weingast, B.R. (1995). The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 1-31.
- Vaubel, R. (1994). The public choice analysis of European integration: A survey. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 10(1), 227-249.

2. Zufriedenheit mit der Demokratie und die Erscheinung von Populismus in der EU

(Satisfaction with Democracy, and the emergence of populism in the EU)

- Algan, Y et al. (2017). "The European Trust Crisis and the Rise of Populism", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* (Fall):309-400.
- Dluhosch, B. et al. (2015). "EU enlargement and satisfaction with democracy: a peculiar case of immiserizing growth", *Const Polit Econ*, 27: 273-298.
- Dustmann, C. et al. (2017). Populism and trust in Europe, voxeu.org (<https://voxeu.org/article/populism-and-trust-europe>; last accessed: November 09, 2021).
- Foster, C. and Frieden, J. (2020). Economic Determinants of Public Support for European Integration, 1995-2018. Working Paper.

(https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/jfrieden/files/economic_determinants_of_public_support_for_european_integration_draft_4.0.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).

- Gold, R. (2021). Wie kann Wirtschaftspolitik zur Eindämmung des Populismus beitragen?, *Wirtschaftsdienst*, Springer, Heidelberg, Vol. 101, Iss. 7, pp. 500-504, (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2955-9>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Kayran, E.N. (2016). Trust Thy Institutions: Importance of Trust in Political Institutions in Mediating Public Attitudes Towards European Integration and Immigration; Paper prepared for 3rd ESS Conference, Geneva, (https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/docs/about/conference/KAYRAN_Trust-Thy-Institutions.pdf ; last accessed November 09, 2021).

3. Deutschlands Rolle in der EU

(Germany's role in the EU)

- Aggestam, L. and Hyde-Price, A. (2020). Learning to Lead? Germany and the Leadership Paradox in EU Foreign Policy. *German Politics*, Vol. 29; Issue 1.
- Böttger, K., Jopp, M. (2021). Handbuch zur deutschen Europapolitik, Nomos, Baden-Baden.
- Bulmer, S. (2019). Deutschland in der EU: Europas unverzichtbarer Hegemon? *Institut für Europäische Politik, Issue 1*, 3-20.
- Müller-Brandeck-Bocquet G. (2021). Deutsche Europapolitik in der Ära Angela Merkel 2005–2021: Enge Gestaltungsspielräume in Krisenzeiten. In: Müller-Brandeck-Bocquet G. (eds) *Deutsche Europapolitik*. Springer VS, Wiesbaden, (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-35340-7_5; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Schild, J. (2020). The myth of German hegemony in the euro area revisited. *West European Politics*, 43:5, 1072-1094.
- Schweiger, C. (2018). Germany's Role in the EU-27 Leadership Constellation after Brexit. *German Politics and Society, Issue 127 Vol. 31, No. 2*, 100-117.
- Yordanova, N. et al. (2020). Swaying citizen support for EU membership: Evidence from a survey experiment of German voters. *European Union Politics, Vol. 21 (3)*, 429-450.
- Kindleberger, C. P. (1981). Dominance and leadership in the international economy: Exploitation, public goods, and free rides. *International studies quarterly*, 25(2), 242-254.

4. Brexit: Der Desintegrationsprozess in der EU

(Brexit: The disintegration process in the EU)

- Bulmer, S. and Quaglia, L. (2018). The politics and economics of Brexit. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 25:8; 1089-1098.
- Glencross, A. (2020). „Love Europe, hate the EU”: A genealogical inquiry into populists' spatio-cultural critique of the European Union and its consequences, *European Journal of International Relations, Vol. 26(1)*: 116-136.
- Handler H. (2021), Die EU auf dem Weg zur Konsolidierung?, *Krisengeprüftes Europa*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden.

(https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-35240-0_7 ; last accessed November 09, 2021).

- Hobolt, S.; Leeper, T.; and Tilley, J. (2020). Divided by the vote: affective polarization in the wake of the Brexit referendum. *British Journal of Political Science*.
- Kóczy, L. (2016). How Brexit would affect the EU power distribution. Voxeu.org (<https://voxeu.org/article/brexit-and-eu-power-distribution>; last accessed November 09, 2021).

5. COVID-19 und die Auswirkungen auf die EU (COVID-19 and the Impact on the EU)

- Celi, G., Guarascio, D., and Simonazzi, A. (2020). A fragile and divided European Union meets Covid-19: further disintegration or 'Hamiltonian moment'? *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47, 411-424.
- Cernat, L. (editor) (2020). Trade policy reflections beyond the COVID19 outbreak. *European Commission, Chief Economist Note, Issue 2*, 1-28 (https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2020/july/tradoc_158859.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Dullien, S., Herzog-Stein, A., Rietzler, K., Tober, S., Watzka, S. (2021). Die Erholung nachhaltig gestalten: Wirtschaftspolitische Herausforderungen 2021, IMK Report 164, (https://www.boeckler.de/pdf/p_imk_report_164_2021.pdf ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Jones, A. et al. (2020). The challenge of scaling up the European Union's global response to COVID-19. *Ecdpm Briefing Note No. 116*, (<https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/the-challenge-of-scaling-up-the-european-union-s-global-response-to-covid-19.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Koenig, N. and Rubio, E. (2020). What the European Council's MFF/Recovery deal tells us about the EU's global ambition. *Jacques Delors Centre Policy Brief*, (https://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-hsog/frontdoor/deliver/index/docId/3585/file/20200722_Security_Koenig_Rubio.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Landesmann, M. A. (2020). Covid-19 crisis: centrifugal vs. centripetal forces in the EU a political-economic analysis. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47, 439-453.
- Issing, O. (2020). The COVID-19 crisis: A Hamilton moment for the European Union?. *International Finance* 23(2), 340-47.
- Thöne, M. (2021). Next Generation EU—der erste von vielen Schritten ist getan. *Ifo Schnelldienst*, 74(2), 16-19, (https://www.ifo-koeln.org/images/aktuelles/2021/sd-2021-02-next-generation-eu_thoene.pdf ; last accessed November 09, 2021).

6. Die Handels Politik - und die Globale Strategie der EU (The EU's Trade Policy and Global Strategy)

- Barbé, E. and Morillas, P. (2019). The EU global strategy: the dynamics of a more politicized and politically integrated foreign policy. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 32:6, 753-770.

- Dieter, H. (2021). Die neue Liebe zur Autarkie: Risiken für die deutsche und europäische Exportwirtschaft, *SWP- Aktuell*, 18/2021, (<https://doi.org/10.18449/2021A18> ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Dür, A., Eckhardt, J., and Poletti, A. (2020). Global Value Chains, the Anti-Globalization Backlash, and EU Trade Policy: A Research Agenda. *Journal of European Public Policy*, Vol. 27, No. 6.
- Leblond, P. and Viju-Milijusevic, C. (2019). EU trade policy in the twenty-first century: change, continuity and challenges. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26:12, 1836-1846.
- Lippert, B., Ondarza, N., and Perthes, V. (Eds.) (2019). European strategic autonomy: actors, issues, conflicts of interests (SWP Research Paper, 4/2019). *Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik _SWP- Deutsches Institut für Internationale Politik und Sicherheit*. (https://www.swp-berlin.org/fileadmin/contents/products/research_papers/2019RP04_lpt_orz_prt_web.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Smith, K. (2017). A European Union global strategy for a changing world? *Int Polit*, 54, 503-518.

7. Die EU und die USA: Chancen und Herausforderungen (EU and the U.S.: Opportunities and challenges)

- Bertelsmann Foundation, The German Marshall Fund of the United States, Institut Montaigne (2020). State of the Transatlantic Relationship. (https://www.gmfus.org/sites/default/files/TT20_Final.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Braml, M., Felbermayr, G. (2021). Die USA sind mit großem Abstand Europas wichtigster Wirtschaftspartner, *Kiel Policy Brief*, No. 150, (<https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/232524/1/1753149223.pdf> ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Burgoon, B., Oliver, T., and Trubowitz, P. (2017). Globalization, domestic politics, and transatlantic relations. *Int Polit*, 54, 420-433.
- Kunz, B. (2020). The Evolving Transatlantic Link: What European Response? Disentangling the European Security Debate. In: Quessard, M., Heurtebize, F.; and Gagnon, F. (eds.). *Alliances and Power Politics in the Trump Era*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37258-3_3; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Schlegel, C. (2020). Tariffs, NATO and Nord Stream: Is Trump the Problem to a Transatlantic Free Trade Agreement?. *Z Außen Sicherheitspolit* 13, 65-81.
- Schneider-Petsinger, M. (2019). US-EU Trade Relations in the Trump Era. Which Way Forward?. Chatham House, Research Paper. (<https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/publications/research/2019-03-08US-EUTradeRelations2.pdf>; November 09, 2021).

8. China und die EU (China and the EU)

- Cameron, F. (2019). The European Union's New Rival -China. *GIGA Focus Asien*, 7, 1-11.
- Flach, L. (2021). Die EU braucht eine klare USA-China-Strategie, *Wirtschaftsdienst*, Springer, Heidelberg, Vol. 101, Iss. 4, pp. 238-239,

(<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2881-x> ; last accessed November 09, 2021).

- García-Herrero, A. et al. (2017). EU-China Economic Relations to 2025 building a Common Future. *Chatam House* (<https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/publications/research/2017-09-13-eu-china-economic-relations-2025-garcia-herrero-kwok-liu-summers-zhang-final.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Grimmel, A. and Eszterhai, V. (2020). The Belt and Road Initiative and the Development of China's Economic Statecraft: European Attitudes and Responses. *International Studies*, 57 (3), 223-239.
- Le Corre, P. (2018). China's Rise as a Geoeconomic Influencer: Four European Case Studies. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Working Paper*.
- Sarsenbayev, M. and Véron, N. (2020). European versus American Perspectives on the Belt and Road Initiative. *China & World Economy*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 84-112.

9. Die EU und Russland

(The EU and Russia)

- Belín, M., Hanousek, J. (2020). Which sanctions matter? Analysis of the EU/Russian sanctions of 2014. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2020.07.001>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Casier, T. (2020). Not on speaking terms, but business as usual: the ambiguous coexistence of conflict and cooperation in EU-Russia relations. *East European Politics*, (<https://doi.org/10.1080/21599165.2020.1756784>; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Siddi, M. (2018). The Role of Power in EU-Russia Energy Relations: The Interplay between Markets and Geopolitics. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 70:10, 1552-1571.
- Schmidt-Felzmann, A. (2019). Between Geopolitics and Market Rules: The EU's Energy Interdependence with Russia. In Raik, K., and Rácz, A. (eds.). *Post-Crimea Shift in EU-Russia Relations: From Fostering Interdependence to Managing Vulnerabilities*. International Centre for Defence and Security.
- Stüwe, Robert (2021). Unpacking the German Debate on Nord Stream 2. *Russian Analytical Digest*, Nr. 267, 12. April 2021, S. 5-1 (<https://css.ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/gess/cis/center-for-securities-studies/pdfs/RAD267.pdf> ; last accessed November 10, 2021).

10. Die EU und Lateinamerika

(The EU and Latin America)

- ECLAC (2018). The European Union and Latin America and the Caribbean. Convergent and sustainable strategies in the current global environment. (https://repositorio.cepal.org/bitstream/handle/11362/43741/9/S1800902_en.pdf; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Maihold, G. (2021). Vom Nebeneinander zu neuem Miteinander: die deutsche Lateinamerika-Politik braucht neue Ansatzpunkte. *SWP-Aktuell*, 58/2021, (<https://doi.org/10.18449/2021A58> ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Serbin, A., and Serbin Pont, A. (coord.) (2018). Why should the European Union have any relevance for Latin America and the Caribbean?. *EU-LAC*. (

<https://eulacfoundation.org/en/system/files/why-should-eu-relevance-lac-en-web.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).

- Gratius, S. (2018). Brazil and the European Union: from liberal inter-regionalism to realist bilateralism. *Rev. Bras. Polit. Int.*, 61 (1), 1-21.
- Timini, J.; and Viani, F. (2020). The EU-Mercosur Free Trade Agreement: Main Features and Economic Impact. *Analytical Articles, Economic Bulletin, Banco de España* 1/2020. (<https://repositorio.bde.es/bitstream/123456789/11802/1/be2001-art8e.pdf>; last accessed November 09, 2021).

11. Die Zentralisierungstendenz in der Europäischen Integration (Centralization Tendencies in European Integration)

- Dunleavy, P., & Kirchgässner, G. (2000). Explaining the centralization of the European Union: a public choice analysis. In *Decision Rules in the European Union* (pp. 163-200). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Salmon, P. (2002). Accounting for centralization in the European Union: Niskanen, Monnet or Thatcher?, [Research Report] Laboratoire d'analyse et de techniques économiques (LATEC). 2002, 27 p., bibliographie. (hal-01526519), <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01526519/> (last accessed November 09, 2021)
- Schmid, P.A. (2021), Binnenmarkt, Steuerharmonisierung und Dezentralisierung. In: Bergbauer H., Mann G. (eds), *Neugestaltung der Staatenwelt im 21. Jahrhundert*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden, 279-296, (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30854-4_17 ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Vaubel, R. (1992). Die politische Ökonomie der wirtschaftspolitischen Zentralisierung in der Europäischen Gemeinschaft. *Jahrbuch für Neue Politische Ökonomie*, 11, 30-65.

12. Mehr-Ebenen Systeme: Modelle für Europa? (On Multi-level Approaches to EU Integration)

- Weingast, B. R. (1995). The economic role of political institutions: Market-preserving federalism and economic development. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, 1-31.
- Oates, W. E. (1999). An essay on fiscal federalism. *Journal of economic literature*, 37(3), 1120-1149.
- Scharpf, F. W. (1988). The joint-decision trap: lessons from German federalism and European integration. *Public administration*, 66(3), 239-278.
- Spolaore, E. (2015). The political economy of European integration (No. w21250). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Feld, L. P. (2014). James Buchanan's theory of federalism: From fiscal equity to the ideal political order. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 25(3), 231-252.

13. Europäische Integration: Die verfassungsökonomische Sicht (A Constitutional Political Economy Approach to EU Integration)

- Feld, L. P. (2005). The European constitution project from the perspective of constitutional political economy. *Public Choice*, 122(3-4), 417-448.

- Knoll, B., & Koenig, A. (2011). Leviathan Europa–Stärkung der Nationalstaaten und der EU durch konstitutionelle Schranken?. *Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftspolitik*, 60(2), 127-145.
- Brennan, G., & Kliemt, H. (1990). Logo logic. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 1(1), 125-127.
- Kurrild-Klitgaard, P., & Berggren, N. (2004). Economic consequences of constitutions: A theory and survey. *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 14(1).

14. Fiskalpolitische Regelbindung: Lehren für Europa (Rules-Based Fiscal Policies: Lessons for Europe)

- Begg, I. (2017). Fiscal and other rules in EU economic governance: helpful, largely irrelevant or unenforceable?. *National Institute Economic Review*, 239(1), R3-R13.
- Buchanan, J. M., & Lee, D. R. (1994). On a fiscal constitution for the European Union. *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 5(2-3), 219-232.
- Beetsma, R., Thygesen, N., Cugnasca, A., Orseau, E., Eliofotou, P., Santacroce, S., ... & Debrun, X. (2019). Beyond fiscal rules: How domestic fiscal frameworks can contribute to sound fiscal policy, *voxeu.org* Oct 14, 2019, (<https://voxeu.org/article/how-domestic-fiscal-frameworks-can-contribute-sound-fiscal-policy>, last accessed November 09, 2021)
- Guttenberg, L., Hemker, J., Tordoir, S. (2021). Alles wird anders – Wie die Pandemie die EU-Finanzarchitektur verändert, *Wirtschaftsdienst*, Springer, Heidelberg, Vol. 101, Iss. 2, pp. 90-94, (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10273-021-2848-y> ; last accessed November 09, 2021).
- Merrifield, J.; Poulson, B. (2016). Swedish and Swiss Fiscal-Rule Outcomes Contain Key Lessons for the United States, *The Independent Review* 21(2), 2151-274.

Ab sofort (bis zum 10. Januar 2022) kann ein Thema zur Bearbeitung übernommen werden. Anmeldung und Themenvergabe sind per Email möglich (ana.soliz@hsu-hh.de).

Die Vergabe der Themen erfolgt nach dem Windhundverfahren nach der Reihenfolge des Eingangs der Emails und Bestätigung. Die Anmeldung ist verbindlich und wird bei Nicht-Bearbeitung mit der Note 5.0 bewertet.

Das Seminar selbst findet n.V. als Webinar via MS Teams statt. Nähere Informationen (Termin/e, Formalia und Abgabe der Seminararbeiten etc.) folgen nach der Anmeldung.